

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCHES IN BIOSCIENCES, AGRICULTURE AND TECHNOLOGY © VISHWASHANTI MULTIPURPOSE SOCIETY (Global Peace Multipurpose Society) R. No. MH-659/13(N) www.vmsindia.org

X – RAY DIFFRACTION STUDIES OF PD(II) COMPLEXES OF TETRADENTATE SCHIFF BASES

Julekha A. Shaikh

Department of Chemistry, Maharashtra College of Arts, Science and Comm, 246 – A, JBB Marg, Mumbai 400 008, India shaikh.julekha@gmail.com

Abstract:

Pd(II) Complexes with N₂O₂typetetradentate Schiff bases derived fromo-phenylenediamine and various salicylaldehydes were synthesized and characterised by elemental analysis, magnetic susceptibility, molar conductivity as well as IR, ¹H-NMR and electronic spectroscopy.Elemental analysis data proved 1 : 1 stoichiometry. The molar conductance data revealed the non electrolyticbehaviourofthe complexes. The analytical and spectral data confirm that the Schiff basesact as dibasic tetradentate ligands and coordinated to metal via the two azomethinenitrogens and the two phenolic oxygens. Magnetic susceptibility and electronic spectral data confirm the diamagnetic nature and square planar geometry for the complexes. The powder X- ray diffraction data suggested monoclinic crystal system for these complexes.

Keywords: Pd(II) complexes, Schiff bases, o-phenylenediamine, X- ray diffraction studies.

Introduction:

Coordination complexes with substituted salicylaldehydes have shown diverse structures and properties generating a variety of stereochemistries and wide range of bonding interactions [1,2]. These compounds can be used in photochemical, catalytic, medicinal and electrochemical applications [3-5]. Some of the complexes of tetradentate Schiff bases have received considerable attention because of their potential as catalysts for the insertion of oxygen into an organic substrate [6] and have shown to be useful models in understanding biological systems such as irregular binding of peptides [7]. These compounds play an important role in advancement of inorganic biochemistry as they may statistically mimic the spectroscopic or other physical properties of enzyme [8-9].

The synthesis and Characterisation of tetradentate Schiff base complexes derived from salicylaldehyde and diamines have been studied intensively by many authors[10,11]. However literature survey reveals that much less attention has been focused on their XRD studies hence it was thought worthwhile to carry out their crystallographic studies. In the present investigation three Pd(II) complexes have been synthesized, characterized and studied using powder X – ray diffractometer.

Materials and Methods

All chemicals used were of A. R. grade purchased from S. D. Fine chemicals (Mumbai) and used without further purification.

Synthesis of Schiff bases: The Schiff base was synthesized by condensing ethanolic solution of 0.01 mol of o-phenylediaminewith 0.02mol of salicyaldehyde, 5-chlorosalicylaldehydeand5nitrosalicylaldehyde respectivelyand refluxed for 3-4 hours. The precipitate formed was cooled, collected by filtration washed with methanol and then recrystallized from ethanol. The purity of ligands was checked by thin layer chromatography and elemental analysis. The yield of the ligands was about 80-95%.

Synthesis of the Pd complexes: To thehot solution of 0.001mol of ligand in chlorofom, ethanolic solution of PdCl₂ (0.001 mol) was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for about 4-5 hours at 50°C with continuous stirring. The complexes which precipitated were filtered off, washed with cold methanol and recrystallized finally dried in vacuum over fused CaCl₂.

Experimental Analysis: Metal content was determined in the laboratory by the reported methods [12]. C, H and N analysis were performed at the IIT Mumbai. The infra-red spectra of the ligands and of their metal complexes were recorded in KBr pellets in the 4000-400 cm⁻¹ region using a FTIR supplied by Perkin Elmer instrument. The electronic Spectra were recorded in DMSO solution using a UV-visible 2100 Spectrophotometer supplied by M/s Perkin Elmer lambda 25. The ¹H NMR spectra were recorded in DMSO using a Bruker Advance 300 MHz spectrometer. The X-ray analysis was carried out at TIFR Mumbai.

Results and Discussion:

All the metal complexes are crystalline solids and decompose at high temperature. The complexes are insoluble in water, ethanol, methanol, chloroform, carbon tetrachloride etc. but soluble in DMF and DMSO.The analytical data along with some physical properties of the ligand and metal complexes are reported in Table 1. The molar conductivities of $1 \ge 10^{-3}$ S cm² mol⁻¹ solutions of the complexes in DMSO indicate their non-electrolytic nature [13].

IR Spectra: The IR spectra of the free ligands showed characteristic bands at around 3000 cm⁻¹assigned to intramolecularhydrogen bonded U(O-H)stretching vibration. The absence of this band in the metal complexes indicates OH proton due deprotonationof to its coordination to the metal. This is further supported by the shift in the stretching frequency of theu_(C-O)to lower wave numbers by 20-30 cm-1 from its position in the free ligands[14]. The coordination of azomethine nitrogen is confirmed by the presence of bands in the 1620-1610 cm-1 region in the ligand which underwent a shift to a lower frequency after complexation [15]. All complexes show new bands in the 550-530 cm^{-1} and 470-450 cm^{-1} region assigned to $u_{(Pd-O)}$ and $u_{(Pd-N)}$ stretching vibrations respectively (Table 2).

¹H NMR Spectra:The ¹H NMR spectra of the ligands and Pd(II) complexes were recorded in DMSO solvent (Table 2).The proton signals of azomethinecarbon appeared to be deshielded as they are shifted downfield compared to the respective ligands indicating coordination through azomethine nitrogen atom; also the OH signal of the free ligand (~ 13 ppm) is absent in the spectra of Pd complexes confirming the deprotonationand subsequent participation in complexation [16]. The multiplets in the region 6.09-8.65 ppm were assigned to aromatic ring protons.

Electronic Absorption Spectra and Magnetic Susceptibility: The electronic spectrum of Pd(II) complex display bands in the region 19120-21598 cm⁻¹, 24923-26691cm⁻¹ and 28700-30069 cm⁻¹ which may be assigned to $^{1}A_{1g} \rightarrow ^{1}A_{2g}$ and $^{1}A_{1g} \rightarrow ^{1}B_{1g}$ and $^{1}A_{1g} \rightarrow ^{1}E_{1g}$ transitions respectively. These transitions correspond to the

three d-d spin forbidden transitions from the lower lying d levels to the empty dx^2-y^2 orbitals, the ground state ¹A_{1g}and excited states corresponding to the transitions are ¹A_{2g}, ¹B_{1g}and ¹E_{1g}in order of increasing energy. Hence, a square planar geometry may be assigned for Pd(II) complex [17]. This is further supported by diamagnetic behaviour of Pd(II) from the magnetic susceptibility measurements. XRD Analysis: In X-ray diffractogram of the complexes, major refluxes were recorded in the range 5° to 60° 2 θ value. The major refluxes were measured and corresponding d-values were obtained using Bragg's equation. The independent indexing of major refluxes was carried out using least square method. The miller indices h, k, l were calculated and refined by using Back – cal program on computer. The complexes were successfully indexed to monoclinic system with Z = 4 and space group $P_{2/m}$ for all the complexes [18, 19]. The lattice parameters are summarized in Table 3. The correctness of d-values was confirmed by comparing the observed density with that calculated from the X-ray diffractogram.

Conclusion:

The Schiff base and their Pd(II) complexes were characterized by elemental analysis, conductance measurements, IR, 1H-NMR, uv-vis spectra and XRD studies. The results showed that these Schiff bases behave as tetradentate ligand coordinating through the deprotonated phenolic oxygens and azomethinenitrogensand exhibit square planar structure. The X-ray diffraction data was of great help in the determination of lattice parameters of crystal structures which form the basis of crystallographic studies providing valuable information leading to confirmation of geometrical structure.

	MolWt	M.P	Microar	^ _M			
Compound	(Colour)	(°C)	% Calculated (Found)				(S cm ²
			С	Η	Ν	Μ	mol-1)
H_2L^1	316.00	183	75.94	5.06	8.86		
$C_{20}H_{16}N_{2}O_{2} \\$	(mustard yellow)		(75.68)	(5.10)	(8.73)		
PdL ¹	420.42	>250	57.08	3.33	6.66	25.31	8.5
$C_{20}H_{14}N_2O_2Pd$	(greenish yellow)		(57.50)	(3.28)	(6.81)	(25.87)	
H_2L^2	385.00	220	62.34	3.64	7.27		
$C_{20}H_{14}N_2O_2Cl_2$	(bright orange)		(62.71)	(3.11)	(7.36)		
PdL ²	489.42	>250	49.04	2.45	5.72	21.74	12.3
$C_{20}H_{12}N_2O_2Cl_2Pd$	(yellow)		(49.52)	(2.05)	(5.33)	(21.63)	
H_2L^3	406.00	>250	59.12	3.47	13.79		
$C_{20}H_{14}N_4O_6$	(orange)		(58.79)	(3.49)	(13.55)		
PdL ³	510.42	>250	47.02	2.35	10.97	20.85	9.2
$C_{20}H_{12}N_4O_6Pd$	(brown)		(47.37)	(2.18)	(10.63)	(20.17)	

Table 1. Analytical and Physical Data of the compounds

	IR (cm ⁻¹)					¹ H NMR(δppm)			
Ligand/									
complexes	U (0-H)	U(C=N)	U(C-O)	U(Pd-O)	U(Pd-N)	OH	CH=N	Aromatic	
H_2L^1	3054	1613	1361			12.95	8.57	6.92-7.70	
PdL ¹		1602	1338	543	457		8.95	6.10-7.69	
H_2L^2	3077	1611	1271			13.20	8.43	6.57-7.94	
PdL ²		1598	1250	536	466		8.80	6.09-7.84	
H_2L^3	3086	1619	1288			13.54	8.79	7.00-8.65	
PdL ³		1605	1261	540	470		9.01	6.70-8.62	

Table 2.IR Spectral data (cm⁻¹) and ¹H NMR spectra of Ligand and Complexes.

Table 3. Cell data and crystal lattice parameters for the complexes

			-		-			-	
Complex	a (ºA)	b (ºA)	c (ºA)	a ⁰	βο	γ^0	Vol (0A)3	D_{obs}	D_{cal}
PdL^1	18.432	19.662	14.822	90	89.64	90	2604.31	1.852	1.745
	±0.020	±0.012	±0.017						
PdL ²	20.113	14.257	15.467	90	96.81	90	3875.77	1.183	1.246
	±0.035	±0.064	±0.046						
PdL ³	20.400	18.717	15.385	90	93.07	90	2732.09	1.502	1.563
	±0.015	±0.016	±0.027						



 H_2L^1 : R = -H; H_2L^2 : R = -O; H_2L^3 : R = -NO₂

Structure of Complex

References:

- 1. E. Yoshida and S. Yamada., Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan., 1967, 40, pp1395-1398.
- A. Elmali, C. T. Zeyrek, Y. Elermanand T. N. Durlu., J. Chem. Crystallogr., 2000, 30, pp167-171.
- C.M. da Silva, D.L. da Silva, L.V. Modolo, R. B. Alves, M.A. de Resende, C.V. B. Martins and A. de Fatima., J. Adv. Res., 2011, 2(1), pp1-8.
- B. R. Thorat, R. Jadhav, M. Mustapha, S. Lele, D. Khandekar, P. Kamat, S. Sawant, D. Arakh, R.G. Atram and R. Yamgar., J. Chem. Pharm. Res., 2012, 4(1), pp18-26.
- C.M. Che and J.S. Huang., Coord. Chem. Rev., 2003, 242, pp97-113.
- S.L. Kessel, R.M. Emberson, P.G. Debunner and D. N. Hendrickson., Inorg Chem., 1980, 19, pp1170-1178.
- 7. R. Atkins, G. Brewer, E. Kokol, G.H. Mockhler and E. Sinn., Inorg Chem., 1985, 24, pp127-134.
- 8. P. E. Aranha, M. P. dos Santos, S. Romera and E. R. Dockal., Polyhedron., 2007, 26, pp1373-1382.
- J. Tisato, F. Refosco and F. Bandoli., Coord. Chem. Rev., 1994, 135, pp325-397.



X-ray spectrum of PdL^2

- O. A. Ali., SpectrochimActa., 2014, 151(A), pp18-195.
- 11. Kimberly J. Miller, Jun Ho Baag, and Mahdi M. Abu-Omar., Inorg Chem., 1999, 38, pp4510-4514.
- A. I. Vogel, Vogel's Text Book of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis, 5th edn, ELBS Longman., 1991,pp463.
- W. J. Geary., Coord. Chem. Rev., 1971, 7, pp 81-12.
- 14. J. A. Shaikh., Int. Lett. Chem. Phys. and Astronomy.,2014, 17(3), pp272 280.
- A. S. Munde, A. N. Jagdale, S. M. Jadhav and T. K. Chondhekar., J. Serb. Chem. Soc., 2010, 75(3), pp349-359.
- W H. Hagazy and A. E. D. M. Gaafar., Am. Chem. Sci. Journal., 2012, 2(3), pp 86-99.
- A. Akbari and Z. Alina., Turkish J. Chem., 2013., 37, pp 867-878.
- M. Tawkir and S. A. Iqbal., IJRBAT., 2015, Vol II(3), pp 237-240.
- F. M. Norman, K. Lonsdale., International Tables for Crystallography, McGraw Hill Book Co., New York., 1968.