INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCHES IN BIOSCIENCES, AGRICULTURE AND TECHNOLOGY © VISHWASHANTI MULTIPURPOSE SOCIETY (Global Peace Multipurpose Society) R. No. MH-659/13(N) www.vmsindia.org

Report of A New Petrified Winged Fruit *Aizoceocarpon tetragonii* Gen. Et Sp. Nov. From The Late Cretaceous Sedimentary Sequences of Central India

S. U. Borkar¹, V. D. Nagrale¹, D. D. Ramteke² and A. N. Korpenwar ³

¹Department of Botany, Institute of Science, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India.

²Department of Botany J.M. Patel College Bhandara. India.

³De pt. of Botany, Rashtrapita Mahatma Gandhi Mahavidyalaya, Nagbhir, District-Chandrapur, Maharashtra India. vaishalidnagrale@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The reported fossil samara fruits were collected from three different localities of the Deccan Intertrappean beds of central India. All three specimens were exposed in oblique longitudinal plane, one from the classical locality Mohagaonkalan (22°,1.415' N; 79°,11.204' E,) Madhya Pradesh, India; second from the fossiliferous locality Singhpur, Madhya Pradesh, India (Lat. 19°58.141'N, Long. 78°40.838'E) and third from Marai Patan of Vidarbha region of Maharashtra, India about 80 km from Chandrapur (Lat. 21° 13.280'N, Long. 79°0.84'E). After etching with hydrofluoric acid, the fruit revealed details of morphology and anatomy through examination of fractured surface, serial sectioning, and successive peels.

Fruit is finwinged, dicotyledonous, unilocular, indehiscent capsule with one seed in a locule. Finally summing up the comparison and discussion on the described fossil fruit it can be concluded that the present specimen under investigation resembles much more to the fruits of family Aizoaceae. Hence the present fruit is named as *Aizoceocarpon tetragonii* gen. et sp. nov.

Key words: winged fruit, cretaceous, Mastrichtian, Central India, Aizoaceae, etc.

INTRODUCTION

Winged fruits occur in numerous genera scattered through at least 93 families of flowering plants (Dallwitz *et al.*, 2000 onwards). The wings of wind-dispersed fruits take various configurations and may be formed from different structures including outgrowths of the ovary, hypanthium, perianth parts, and/or bracts.

The fossil fruits were collected from three different localities of the Deccan Intertrappean beds of central India. All three specimens were exposed in oblique longitudinal plane, one from the Mohagaonkalan beds (22°,1.415' N; 79°,11.204' E), second from the fossiliferous locality Singhpur, Madhya Pradesh, India (Lat. 19°58.141'N, Long. 78°40.838'E) and third from Marai Patan of Vidarbha region, India about 80 km from Chandrapur (Lat. 21° 13.280'N, Long. 79°0.84'E). (Fig.1).

A number of dicotyledonous fruits were previously described from the Deccan Intertrappean beds of India. Among these the prominent ones known so far are-Enigmocarpon parijai (Sahni, 1943), Indocarpa intertrappea (Jain, 1964), Harrisocarpon sahnii (Chitaley & Nambudiri, 1973), Sahniocarpon harrisii (Chitaley & Patil, 1973), Daberocarpon gerhardii (Chitaley & Sheikh, 1973), Deccanocarpon arnoldii (Paradkar, 1975), Enigmocarpon sahnii (Chitaley & Kate, 1977), Centrospermocarpon chitaleyi (Sheikh & Khubalkar, 1979) Euphorbiocarpon drypeteoides (Mehrotra et al., 1983), Grewia mohgaonse (Paradkar & Dixit, 1984), Oleaceocarpon nagpurensis (Sheikh et al.,

1986), Gyrocarpusocarpon intertrappea (Mistri & Kapgate, 1988), Duabangocarpon deccanii (Kadoo & Kolhe, 2002), Chitleocarpon intertrappea (Kapgate et al., 2006) and Spinocarpon mohgaonse (Kapgate, 2013). A winged dicot fruit is described from these localities for the first time.



Fig.1-Modified map of Deccan Trap of central India showing fossil localities of winged fruit

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The fossiliferous cherts were collected from three different localities of the Deccan Intertrappean beds of India. After breaking the cherts the specimen were exposed in oblique longitudinal plane. After etching with hydrofluoric acid and washing with water, serial peel sections were taken by peel technique. The peels were mounted on DPX mountant. Thus the fruit revealed details of morphology and anatomy through examination of fractured surface, serial sectioning, and successive peels.

DESCRIPTION

The present fruits are dicotyledonous, unilocular, single seeded, indehiscent samara fruit measures 1.7×3 mm broad and 1.8×2.7 mm long in oblique longitudinal section along with two wings. The fruit is differentiated into outer pericarp, expanded portion of fruit wall called wings, inner pulp containing seed and locule. The detailed description of each part is given below (Pl. 1 fig.1,2,3).

Fruit morphology

The petrified, well preserved fruit is small, obovate in shape (Pl.1 fig.1,2,3). It is broad in the middle and narrower towards the base than the apex. The fruit measures 2.7 mm in length and 3 mm across at the broadest middle part.

Pericarp

The fruit wall or pericarp is well preserved and moderately thick, measures about 130–150 μm and is differentiated into outer epicarp, middle mesocarp, and inner endocarp (Pl.2 fig.1).

Epicarp

It is the outermost layer of the fruit measuring about $30-40 \ \mu m$ in thickness. It is made up of one layer of thin walled, horizontally elongated parenchymatous cells.

Mesocarp

The mesocarp is $55-70 \ \mu m$ thick and made up of thick walled sclerenchymatous cells. Few canals are present in this region.

Endocarp

It is the innermost layer measuring 50–60 μm in thickness and consists of thick walled rectangular cells.

Inner pulp

In between pericarp and seeds a few thin walled parenchymatous cells are preserved at places (Pl.2 fig.3); otherwise except for the seed and placenta no other tissue is preserved. These cells inside fruit measure about $3 \times 4 \mu m$ in size.

Locule

In oblique longitudinal section single locule is seen with well-preserved seeds (Pl. 2 Fig. 1). The diameter of locule is 0.4×0.7 mm.

Seed

Seed is oval to obovate, measures 0.3×0.5 mm in diameter. Seed coat is unitegmic, thin, measures 40-50 µm in thickness and made up of rectangular parenchymatous cells. Presence of thin walled parenchymatous tissue indicates the nucellus. Embryo appears to be made up of thin walled cells with single layer epidermis. The undifferentiated cellular mass of thin walled parenchymatous cells is found (Pl. 2 Fig. 2).

Wing

The wings are usually symmetrical with one another about the central axis, prefer the term

"finwinged". Wings developed from the fruit wall tends to have a simpler marginal vein pattern. The wing has a single pattern of cells spreading in one plane. Small areoles spread on the wings (Pl. 2 Fig. 3,4).

DISCUSSION AND IDENTIFICATION

The above described specimen revealed following important details for its identification.

1.Fruit is unilocular, single seeded and indehiscent samara fruit.

2.Fruit wall is moderately thick.

- 3.Seed coat unitegmic
- 4.Embryo thin walled.

5.Nuclear endosperm.

6.Symmetrical wings with marginal vein

7.Small areoles present.

From these characters it is evident that the described fruit was formed from unicarpellary, simple, dry, single seeded samara fruit.

Comparison with fossil fruits

The previously described fossil fruits from the Deccan Intertrappean beds of India are different from the present fruit in a number of characters. Enigmocarpon parijai (Sahni, 1943) is 2.3 mm long and 1.5-2 mm broad and 6-12 locular with thick spongy wall. Each loculus contains the rows of seeds. Endocarpa intertrappea (Jain, 1964) is septifragal capsule with columella and a fleshy testa. It is 30.0 x 2.3 cm in size and much larger than the present fossil. Daberocarpon gerhardü (Chitaley & Sheikh, 1973) is ten locular fruit containing single seed in each locule. Sahniocarpon harrisii (Chitaley & Patil, 1973) had pentalocular capsule, one seed in each locule with persistant calyx, while present fruit is unilocular capsule without persistant calyx. Harrisocarpon sahnii (Chitaley & Nambudiri, 1973) is pentalocular capsule, two seeds in each loculicidal dehiscence. locule with Deccanocarpon amoldii (Paradkar, 1975) is an eight locular capsule with one seed in each loculus. Centrospermocarpon chitaleyi (Sheikh & Khubalkar, 1979) differs in having one umbo, one notch, seeds arranged in two rings; seeds in peripheral rings are non-endospermic and in five tiers with spiny seed coat. Euphorbiocarpon drypetecides (Mehrotra et al., 1983) trilocular, dicotyledonous drup with single seed in each locule. Grewia mohgaonse (Paradkar & Dixit, 1984) differs in having five lobed drupaceous fruit, two pyrened structure with one seed in each pyrene. Wingospermocarpon mohgaonse (Sheikh & Kapgate, 1984) is unilocular with seven winged seeds. Gyrocarpusocarpon intertrappea (Mistri & Kapgate, 1988) differs in having single large elongated wing. Orygiocarpon jhargadi (Yawale & Channe, 1998) differs in having pentalocular,

many seeded, loculicidal capsule. Duabangocarpon deccanë (Kadoo & Kolhe, 2002) differs from the present fruit as it is multiseeded, multilocular capsular fruit with persistent calyx. Chitleocarpon intertrappea (Kapgate et al., 2006) differs from the present fossil as it is seven locular, seven ribbed capsular fruit with loculicidal de hiscence differs in having septicidal capsule having one to many seeds of oblong to linear shape in each locule. Thus, the present fossil fruit does not resemble any of the fossil capsular fruits described earlier.

Comparison with the modern taxa

The present fossil fruit was also compared with the modern dicotyledonous families having samara fruit like Aizoaceae, Malphighiaceae, Simarubaceae, Urticaceae, Rhamnaceae, Combretaceae and Dipterocarpaceae. Polygonaceae, Malvaceae, Okaceae.

The fruit of family Dipterocarpaceae differs in having multilocular and persistent calyx which form the wings. Aspidopteris and Hiptage of family Malphigiaceae differs in having trilocular fruit, whe reas the present fruit is unilocular. The fruit body is fusiform, confined mainly to the middle, or to the upper half of the fruit. A median vein runs along the lower portion of the fruit, connecting between the pedicel and endocarp. The wings are membranous, with venation fanning outward from the endocarp, spaced about 1-1.5 mm apart and extending to the fimbrial vein with occasional cross veins and loops between adjacent veins linking to form an irregular network between adjacent main veins, with occasional freely ending veinlets.

Family Polygonaceae differs in the wings are supplied only with very fine, subparallel, fusiform-reticulate venation and lack a fimbrial vein, but in some genera there is a prominent intramarginal vein in each wing. Calligonum and Rumex differ from the usual pattern, have a complex reticulate, rather than fusiformreticulate pattern, and Colligonum has a prominent fimbrial vein. Family Malvaceae e.g. Craigia differs in the wings with chartaceous, thick veins ascending to tips, dichotomizing and anastomosing, occasionally forming transversely elongate areoles. The margins are thickly sutured and the surfaces are covered by tribranched hairs. Family Oleaceae e.g. Fontanesia Labill differs in having the wings broader, the locular area is fusiform. The style base, and hypogynous perianth may persist. The wings are coriaceous, without any obvious venation giving the fruit a more circular outline.

The fruit of Simarubaceae e.g. Allanthum and Samendera differs in having multichambered

fruit and number of wings. Family Urticaceae e.g. *Holoptelea* differs in having number of wings. Family Rhamnaceae e.g. *Ventilago* differs in thich seed coat and multiwinged nature. Family Combretaceae e.g. Anogeisses and Cyrocarpus differs in having one angular wing, large pendulous seed and thick seed coat. Fruits are orbicular to broader than long, with a prominent apical beak. Wings are chartaceous, translucent at edges, without any obvious veins.

Family Aizoaceae shows similarity in having wide-elliptical indehiscent 3 to 4 winged fruits develop from an inferior ovary. The wings are coriaceous with main veins fanning into the wings from the endocarp with a festooned brochidodromous pattern. The density of main veins is 1.2 per mm. A fabric of finer veins between the main veins forms an irregular reticulum of polygonal areoles.

Finally summing up the comparison and discussion on the described fossil fruit it can be concluded that the present specimen under investigation resembles much to the fruits of family Aizoaceae. On comparing with the genus of the same family like Tetragonia the present fruit closely resembles with Tetragonia. Hence the present fruit is named as Aizoceocarpon deccanii gen. et sp. nov. The generic name is after the family and specific name is after the Deccan trap. The fruits of Aizoaceae family are typically loculicidal capsules, but those of Tetragonia L. are indehiscent and winged. Tetragonia (Pl. 1 fig.4) with about 85 species of shrubs, occurs in tropical and temperate regions of the Southern Hemisphere with a center of distribution in southern Africa.

Diagnosis

Aizoceocarpon gen. nov.

Fruit is dicotyledonous, unilocular, indehiscent capsule with one seeds in a locule, finwinged.

Aizoceocarpon deccanii gen. et sp. nov.

Fruit is a dicotyledonous, unilocular, single seeded, and indehiscent samara fruit measures 1.7×3 mm broad and 1.8×2.7 mm long. Fruit wall 130-150 µm thick, differentiated into epicarp, mesocarp and endocarp. Epicarp 30-40 thick, one layered of thin walled parenchymatous 55-70 cells: mesocarp μm thick sclerenchymatous; endocarp 50-60 µm thick. Seed is oval to obovate, measures 0.3×0.5 mm in diameter. Seed coat is unitegmic, thin, parenchymatous measures 40-50 µm in thickness. Nucellus present. Embryo with thin walled cell. Wings developed from the fruit wall tends to have a simpler marginal vein pattern.

Holotype—VDN / Angio. Fruit

Repository—Botany Department, Institute of science, Nagpur

Locality—Mohgaokalanand, Singpur Madhya Pradesh; Maraipatan Maharashtra. Horizon—Deccan Intertrappean beds. Age—Late Cretaceous - Early Paleogene.

<image>

Aizoceocarpon tetragonii gen. et sp. nov. Explanation of Plate 1 figures 1 to 4

- 1. Samara fruit specimen 1 collected from Marai Patan locality.
- 2. Specimen 2 collected from Singpur locality
- 3. Specimen 3 collected from Mohgaonkalan fossiliferous locality.
- 4. Extant genera of family Aizoaceae.



Plate fig. 2

Aizoceocarpon tetragonii gen. et sp. nov. Explanation of Plate 2 figures 1 to 4

- 1. Magnified view of seed of specimen 3.
- 2. Magnified view of seed of specimen 2 showing seed coat.
- 3. Magnified view of wing showing marginal vein pattern.
- 4. Magnified view of finwing.

REFERENCES

Chitaley SD & Nambudiri EMV (1973). Harrisocarpon sahnii gen. et sp. nov. from the Deccan Intertrappean beds of Mohgaonkalan, India. Geophytology 3: 36–41.

Chitaley SD & Sheikh MT (1973). Ten locular petrified fruit from the Deccan Intertrappean Series of India. *The Palaeobotanist* 20: 297–299.

Chitaley SD & Patil GV (1973). Sahniocarpon harrisii gen. et sp. nov. from the Deccan Intertrappean beds of India. Palaeobotanist, Silver Jubilee Vol. 29: 288–292.

Chitaley SD & Kate VR (1977). Enigmocarpon sahnii sp. nov. from the Deccan Intertrappean Kapgate VD, Kapgate DK & Sheikh MT (2006). First record of seven locular dicot fruit from beds of Mohgaonkalan, India. *Review of Paleobotany and Palynology* 23: 389–398.

Dallwitz M. J., Paine T.A. & Zurcher E.J. (2000 onwards). Principles of interactive keys, <u>http://deltaintkey.com</u>.

Jain RK (1964). *Indocarpa intertrappea* gen. et sp. nov. A new dicotyledonous fruit from the Deccan Intertrappean series of India. *Annals of Botany* 125: 26–33.

Kadoo LA & Kolhe PD (2002). A new capsular fruit Dubangacarpon deccanü from Intertrappean bed of Mohgaonkalan, Madhya Pradesh. Gondwana Geological Magazine 17: 39–46. Deccan Intertrappean Beds of Mohgaonkalan, Chhindwara district Madhya Pradesh. *Gondwana Geological Magazine* 21: 109–114.

Kapgate DK (2013). Spinocarpon mohgaonse gen. et sp. nov. A permineralized spiny fruit from Deccan Intertrappean beds of Mohgaonkalan, M.P., India. Geophytology 43: 51-56.

Mehrotra RC, Prakash U & Bande MB (1983). Euphorbiocarpon drypteoides a new Euphorbiaceous fruit from Deccan Intertrappean beds of Mandla District, M.P. Geophytology 13: 127–133.

Mistri PB & Kapgate DK (1988). A report of a winged fruit of the family Combretaceae from the Deccan Intertrappean beds of Mohgaonkalan M.P. India. Proceedings 3IOP Conference Melbourne: 93-96.

Paradkar SA (1975). *Deccanocarpon arnoldii* gen. et sp. nov. A new dicotyledonous fruit from the Deccan Intertrappean series of India. *The Botanique* 6: 5–10.

Paradkar SA & Dixit VP 1984. Grewia mohgaonse-a new petrified dicotyledonous fruit from the Deccan Intertrappean Beds of Mohgaonkalan, Madhya Pradesh-India. Proceedings of Indian Geophytology Conference, Lukhnow (1983) Special Publication (1984): 156– 162.

Sahni B 1943. Indian silicified plants-2, Enigmocarpon parijaii, a silicified fruit from the Deccan, with a review of the fossil history of the Lythraceae. *Proceedings of Indian Academic Science* 17:59–96.

Samant B & Mohabey DM 2009. Palynoflora from Deccan volcano-sedimentary sequence (Cretaceous–Palaeogene transition) of central India: implications for spatio–temporal correlation. *Journal of Biosciences* 34: 811–823

Sheikh MT & Khubalkar NV 1979. *Centrospermocarpon chitaleyi* gen.et sp. nov., A new petrified fruit from the Intertrappean beds of Mohgaonkalan, M.P., India. *The Botanique* 10: 23–40.

Sheikh MT & Kapgate DK 1984. A fossil capsule with winged seeds from the Deccan Intertrappean Beds of India. *Current Science* 5:656–657.

Sheikh MT, Kapgate DK, Juneja CD & Gupta RG 1986. A petrified bilocular fruit from the Intertrappean beds of India. *Proceedings of Special Indian Geophytological Conference*–Pune: 157–159.

Sheth HC, Pande K & Bhutani R 2001. 40Ar/39Ar ages of Bombay trachytes: evidence for a Palaeocene phase of Deccan volcanism. *Geophysical Research Letters* 28: 3513–3516.

Yawale NR & Channe RV 1998. A capsular fruit from the Jhargad locality of Yeotmal district, Maharashtra, India. *The Botanique* 11: 64–69.