INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCHES IN BIOSCIENCES, AGRICULTURE AND TECHNOLOGY © VISHWASHANTI MULTIPURPOSE SOCIETY (Global Peace Multipurpose Society) R. No. MH-659/13(N)

www.vmsindia.org

X-RAY DIFFRACTION STUDIES OF Co(II), Cu(II), MO(II), COMPLEXES WITH GLICLAZIDE 1-(3-azobicyclo[3,3,0] Oct-3yl)-3-(p-tolysulphonyl) UREAS AS AN ANTIDIABETIC SCREENING

M. Tawkir¹ and S. A. Iqbal²

¹Department of Chemistry, Saifa College of Science, Bhopal (India) ²Department of Chemisty, Cresent College of Engineering, Bhopal (India) msheikh196@gmail.com

Abstract:

GLICLAZIDE 1-(3-azobicyclo[3,3,0] Oct-3yl)-3-(p-tolysulphonyl) was used to synthesize **Co(II)**, **Cu(II)**, **MO(II)**, complexes. Metal complxes were characterized by elemental analysis, IR, TGA. The crystal structure of complexes were further determined by X-ray diffraction method. The XRD diffraction method. The XRD data was used to index the compound for tetragonal and octahedral system.

Keywords: Glibenclamide, Crystal structure, Co(II), Cu(II), MO(II), complex.

Introduction:

Polyfunctionally rings compounds and synthesis of their metal complex which have various biological activities and include hetero atom, have been formed in organic synthesis and coordination chemistry.^{1.3} Many trasition and inner trasition metal complexes have been synthesized for analytical and commercial applicatisis many of medicinal use.4-5 literature survey reveals that the transition metal complexes generally crystallized with tetrahedral, octahedral geometry.⁶⁻³



Experimental:

All the chemicals used for the preparation of complexes are of Hi-media AR grade mark. Metal complexes are synthesized by adding metal salt solution in appropriate solvent to the solution of the ligand. The mixture was refluxed for 3-4 hours. Then the precipitate of metal complxes was obtained. It is filtered, washed and dried in vacuum desiccators. All selected metals forms 1:2 complexes with glibenclamide were confirmed by to be method as modified by Turner and Anderson.⁹

Result and Discussion:

The result of ESR spectra and X-ray diffraction of , **Co(II)**, **Cu(II)**, **MO(II)**, complexes with Gliclazide were obtained and summarized in following tables. Al reflections has been indexed for h, k, l values using reported lite¹⁴⁻¹⁶ rutur¹²⁻ ¹³ and full proof suit XRD software v.2.0 by using fullpray suite XRD software the d-values of metal complexes were obtained. From ESR spectra of complxes the value of 9:g₄g₃ and gav can be determined. This value are tabulated in table No. (2)

In case of Cu(II) complex gav value is 2.09 which is less than 2.271. the values of indicates the presence of sufficient covalence between the metal ion and donar atom.¹⁷ In case of Zn(II) complex gav value is found to be 2.21. this value is less than 2.25. it is assignable to the presence of covalent character in metal ion and donar atom. In case of Mo(II) complex g_{av} values found to be 2.30 and 2.09 respectively. This values Sc less than 2.387 and 2.247 indicates presence of covalent characters in coordinate bond.

 Table -3.32
 Physico-Chemical Characteristics Of Gliclazide Metal Complexes

S1. No.	Formulae of Complexes	Colour	%Yield	m.p.°C	Molar conductance Ω^{-1} cm ⁻¹ mole ⁻¹
3.	(C ₁₅ H ₂₁ N ₃ O ₃ S) ₂ Mo·2H ₂ O	Purple	60.00	169	30.1
5.	$(C_{15}H_{21}N_{3}O_{3}S)_{2}Co\cdot 2H_{2}O$	Light Pink	55.80	210	35.0
6.	$(C_{15}H_{21}N_{3}O_{3}S)_{2}Cu$	Light Green	68.00	180	34.1

Table -3.33 Analytical Data Of Gliclazide Complex	es
---	----

S1. No.	Molecular formula of	Molecular	% Analysis found (calculated)						
	complexes	weight	С	н	N	0	S	Metal	H_2O
3.	(C ₁₅ H ₂₁ N ₃ O ₃ S) ₂ Mo·2H ₂ O	776.764	46.82	5.28	10.38	12.20	8.13	12.12	5.23
			(46.34)	(5.14)	(10.81)	(12.35)	(8.23)	(12.35)	(4.63)
5.	(Carller NaOaS) a CarollaO	720 754	42.26	5.64	8.60	13.04	8.50	7.12	5.24
	(C15H21N3O3S)2C0-2H2O	139.134	(48.66)	(5.40)	(11.35)	(12.97)	(8.65)	(7.96)	(4.86)
6.	(CHarNaOaS) aCu	708 264	36.07	6.03	8.46	13.84	8.98	7.99	
	(C1511211N3O3S)2Cu	708.304	(50.82)	(5.64)	(11.85)	(13.55)	(9.03)	(8.96)	-



X-ray diffraction study-1 X-ray diffraction study of Gliclazide and their Co,Cu and Mo complexes Gliclazide (GLC)



Position [°2Theta] (Copper (Cu))

Figure.9.3: X-ray difractogram of GLC-Mo Complex

Table 9.1: Cell data and crystal parameter of GLC-Co complex $a(Å) = 21.6990$ Volume($abcsin\beta$)Å= 13880.931 $b(Å) = 23.1881$ Dcal $b(Å) = 27.5891$ Dobs $c(Å) = 27.5891$ Dobs $b(Å) = 27.5891$ Dobs $b(Å) = 27.5891$ Dobs $b(Å) = 27.5891$ Dobs $b(Å) = 27.5891$ Dobs $c(Å) = 2.837$ Density $c(S329g/cm^3)$ Particle size $c(S794microns)$							
Space group = Pmmm							
2θ I/I ₀ D _{(Obs}	$\mathbf{D}_{(Cal)}$	h	k	1			
10.5540 69.89 8.38	8237 8.46731	1	0	3			
16.3437 60.23 5.42	369 5.42470	4	0	0			
19.0109 99.40 4.66	4.64949	2	3	4			
19.8736 82.38 4.46	4.46387	3	4	1			
20.4293 53.81 4.34	4.34770	1	4	4			
22.0439 100.00 4.03	4.02100	5	2	1			
31.8513 52.99 2.80	964 2.80664	7	1	4			
39.3397 29.09 2.29	037 2.28905	1	4	11			
45.6199 37.40 1.98	697 1.98754	9	3	7			

Table 9.2: Cell data and crystal parameter of GLC-Cu Complex

a(Å) = 21.4126 Volume(a³)Å = 13855.00 b(Å) = 23.4321 Dcal = 2.80001 g/cm³ c(Å) = 27.6138 Dobs = 2.80932 g/cm³ Standard deviation = 0.031% Crystal system = $a=90^{\circ}$, $\beta=90^{\circ}$, $\lambda=90^{\circ}$ Porosity(%) = 0.3 Dancity = 0.0511 g/cm³ Porticle size = 16 Orthorhombic 0.3321 Density = 0.0511g/cm³ Particle size = 16.014microns Space group = Pm - -

2 0	I/Io	D _(Obs)	$\mathbf{D}_{(Cal)}$	h	ĸ	l
10.9099	55.22	8.10977	8.24452	7	-1	2
16.3138	36.73	5.43355	5.48380	3	1	3
23.1943	32.13	3.83495	3.83060	-5	1	3
31.8550	100.00	2.80932	2.80001	-6	1	6
45.6099	31.43	1.98738	1.98747	0	11	5
56.7244	3.54	1.62288	1.62164	3	6	15
66.4314	7.33	1.40735	1.40608	5	5	4

M-1-1- 0 0. 0-11 4-4 .

Table 9.3: Cell data and crystal parameter of GLC-Mo Complex										
a(Å) =21.762	28 Volum	e (abcsinβ)Å= 140'	71.30322							
b(Å) = 23.4281	Dcal = 13.8	0660g/cm ³								
c(Å) = 27.6010	Dobs = 13.8	5955 g/cm ³								
Standard deviat	tion = 0.0034%	Crystal system	= Monoclinic	2						
α =90°, β=89.2°	$a = 90^{\circ}, \beta = 89.2^{\circ}, \gamma = 90^{\circ} \text{ Porosity}(\%) = 0.3835$									
Density $= 0.05$	55209g/cm ³	Particle size	= 15.556mic	rons						
Space group = I	Pm Point g	group =	m							
2 0	I/Io	D _(Obs)	D _(Cal)	h	k	1				
6.3774	100.00	13.85955	13.80660	1	1	1				
10.8970	26.15	8.11932	8.26023	1	-2	2				
11.4807	3.82	7.70780	7.65932	2	-2	1				
14.4063	5.14	6.14843	6.16741	3	2	0				
15.2123	5.68	5.82442	5.82736	2	0	4				
16.9963	15.53	5.21656	5.21643	1	1	5				
19.2834	30.43	4.60299	4.60017	0	0	6				
19.9557	28.96	4.44940	4.43686	0	5	2				
22.2511	26.35	3.99534	3.98622	4	4	0				
23.4571	3.85	3.79257	3.80192	5	3	0				
24.7115	3.22	3.60283	3.59621	6	0	1				
28.6699	4.14	3.11376	3.11288	1	7	3				
32.1833	1.47	2.78142	2.78123	3	7	4				
33.5511	3.97	2.67108	2.67159	4	0	9				
35.2684	2.14	2.54486	2.54429	3	4	9				

Conclusion:

On the basis of magnetic moment, crystal lactice parameters and spectral data complexes of Cu(II),) exhibit Planner structure were as Mo(II) and Co(II) complexes exhibit octahedral structure.

The proposed structure of **Co(II)**, **Cu(II)**, **MO(II)**, complexes Sc as shown in the following figure.



M = Cu (Cu = Square Planner)



(M = Mo, Co (Octahedral)



Acknowledgement

The Aauthor is thankful to the principal of Saifia Science College, Bhopal and Principal of Cresent Engineering College, Bhopal for providing all necessary facilities and Punjab University for providing XRD spectra.

Reference:

- 1.K.P.Shrivastav, Anuradha Singh and Suresh Kumar Singh; Orient J.Chem.30(3), 1233-12419(2014)
- 2. Sachin R.Joshi and Seema I.Habib: Orient J.Chem, 30(3), 1343-1348(2014)
- 3.M. Kidwai, P. Sapra; P. Mishra; R.K. Saxena and M. Singh Bioorg. Med. Chem. 9,217 (2001)
- 4. Rai et.al. : Orient.J.Chem.30(3),1429-1433(2014)
- 5.**S.A. Dagaonkar,** Ph.D. Thesis, University of Mumbai, India (1995)
- 6.**S. Prakash; Y. Dutt and R.P. Singh:** Indian J. Chem, **7**, **512** (1969)
- 7. Rai B.K.,Kumar Rachna: Orient.J.Chem.29,1163 (2013)
- 8.**N.S. Bhave; R.B. Kharat;** Orient, J. Chem. Soc. **56, 244** (1979)
- 9. Mamta Bhattacharya, S.A. Iqbal and Suman Malik, Orient J. Chem. 20(4); 643-646(2004)
- 10. **Asmi Denavi and Iqbal S.A. :** Orient J. Chem. 2(2), **156-159** (1986)
- 11. N.F.M. Henry, H. Lipson and W.A. Wooster, Interpretation of X-ray diffraction photograph. **81**(1851)
- 12. Chohan, Zahid H.; Praveen M. and Ghaffer A., Synth. React. Inorg. Met. Org. Chem 28(1998), 1973
- 13. **Juan Rodriguez** Carvajal and Institute Lue Langevin Diffraction group 6, rue, Jules Horowitz B.P. 156-38042, Greenoble Cedex-9, France/ Email- irc@illfr.
- 14. **Altermatt, D. and Brown, I.D.** Acta Cryst (1985); B41, **244-247**
- 15. **Brown, I.D.,** The Chemical bond in inorganic chemistry, The bond valence model IUCr monographs on crystallography, 12, Oxford University Press, (2002), www.ccp14.ac.uk/ccp/web-mirrors/idbrown
- 16. Nabil Abdullah Noman Alkadasi : Orient J.Chem.30(3),1179-1182 (2014)