A Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal



Original Article



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCHES IN BIOSCIENCES, AGRICULTURE AND TECHNOLOGY

© VMS RESEARCH FOUNDATION www.ijrbat.in

COMPARING COMMONLY USED DIVERSITY INDICES TO MEASURE TREE DIVERSITY OF YELLA VILLAGE FOREST OF MULCHERA TALUKA OF GADCHIROLI DISTRICT

B. B. Shil¹ and M.G. Thakare²

¹Department of Environmental Science, Gramgeeta Mahavidyalaya, Chimur (M.S), India. ²Department of Environmental Science, Dr. Khatri Mahavidyalaya, Tukum, Chandrapur Corresponding Email: bijankumarshil@gmail.com, mahendra72.thakare@gmail.com

Communicated :10.12.2022	Revision: 20.01.2023 & 24.01.2023	Dublished, 20.01.0002
	Accepted: 26.01.2023	Published: 30.01.2023

ABSTRACT:

Measuring tree diversity is prerequisite to understand, conserve and manage the forest ecosystem. To measure the tree diversity and to analyse the collected data is always a tedious job. But there are a number of diversity indices proposed by various researchers, which enables us to easily compare and differentiate two or more ecosystems or geographical areas or sites within an ecosystem or geographical area. Still, there may occur confusion while selecting a particular diversity index for any particular study. To overcome such confusions, the present study compares five commonly used diversity indices in forest ecosystems to measure tree diversity.

Yella' village forest of Mulchera taluka of Gadchiroli district is selected for the present study. Sampling was done by using the belt transect method. And with the help of same collected data, five diversity indices i.e., Shannon-Wiener Index, Simpson's Index, Pielou's Index, Margalef's Index and alpha (α)-, beta (β)-, and gamma (γ)- diversity were calculated and compared.

Keywords: - Shannon-Wiener Index, Simpson's Index, Pielou's Index, Margalef's Index, α -, β -, and γ - diversity.

INTRODUCTION:

The total geographical area of Gadchiroli district of Maharashtra state is 14412 sq.km., which is 4.68 % of the total geographical area of the state. But being the most forested district of the Maharashtra, Gadchiroli holds about 20% of total forested area of the state. In 2015, the total forested area of the district was 10,097 sq.km. (ISFR, 2015), which is reduced to 9902.82 sq. km. in 2021 (ISFR, 2021). That means, about 194 sq.km. of forest of Gadchiroli district has been destroyed in last 6 years.

We know that forest provide us number of ecosystem services like food, medicine, fuel, timber, etc. and also help us to clean atmospheric air, to filter water supplies, control soil erosion and flood etc. Forest plays an important role to maintain the CO₂ level by capturing it through photosynthesis. It also provides opportunities for education, research, tourism, aesthetic and cultural enrichment. Beside all this, forest is very important to sustain biodiversity because it is biologically the most diverse ecosystem on land. So many types of microclimate are formed within the forest and within an individual tree in the forest, and hence, supports various life forms. Considering the all above facts, it is very essential to conserve and manage the forests of the Gadchiroli district. And to conserve and manage the forest, measuring its tree diversity is prerequisite. There are number of diversity indices proposed by various researchers to quantify the biodiversity of any area or ecosystem. The commonly used diversity indices in forest ecosystem to measure tree diversity are Shannon-Wiener Index, Simpson's Index, Pielou's Index and Margalef's Index.

In ecology, the biodiversity indices are the numerical indicators of different types of species or genera or families or functional types (depending upon the interest of the researcher) present in the study area. Statistically the diversity indices represent biodiversity in various contexts such as richness, evenness and dominance. Richness measures the different types of species present in given area. Evenness measures the relative abundance of different species contributing to richness of an area.

Margalef's diversity index: -

Margalef's index is the measure of species richness. This index was proposed by Ramon Margalef in 1958.

Simpson index:

Simpson index is a popular diversity index. This index is given by Edward Simpson in 1949, and it considers both richness and evenness.

Shannon-Wiener Index:

Shannon–Wiener index is the most widely used and popular diversity index in ecology. This index is derived from information theories developed by Claude E. Shannon and Norbert Wiener and published in 1949 by Shannon and Warren Weaver. This index also accounts both species richness and evenness. It assumes that individuals are randomly sampled from a very large community, and that all species are represented in the sample.

Pielou's Index:

This index was proposed by Pielou in 1966. Pielou's index measures species evenness of the study area or community or ecosystem.

Both Simpson's index and Pielou's index can be used as measures of species dominance (the opposite of diversity) in a study area or community or ecosystem.

In the present study, all the above-mentioned diversity indices are calculated along with the additive model of Whittaker's diversity components i.e., alpha (α)-, beta (β)-, and gamma (γ)- diversity of Yella' village forest.

Alpha (a)-, beta (β)-, and gamma (γ)- diversity: The additive model of Whittaker's diversity components was proposed by Lande in 1996 and



e-ISSN 2347 – 517X Original Article

Veech et. al. in 2002. According to them these terms are presented as,

Gamma = Alpha + Beta

Alpha diversity is also called as 'species richness' which involves the counting of the number of individuals or even families present within a particular area, habitat or ecosystem. Beta diversity measure is used to compare the alpha diversity of two ecosystems or between two sites of a same ecosystem or between same sites with different period of time. Gamma diversity is the total species richness (alpha diversity) over a large area or landscape.

MATERIALS AND METHODS :

Study area:

The present study is carried out in the village forest of Yella village. Yella village is situated in Mulchera taluka of Gadchiroli district of Maharashtra at 19°50'63" N, 79°88'71" E. The village has more than 100 hectares of village forest on which the forest department has implemented Joint Forest Management (JFM). The village is connected to Chandrapur-Allapalli-Aheri highway and accessible by public and private transport.

Sampling Method:

We applied continuous belt transect method for sampling, because it is fast, systematic and can be applied on large scale. According to Todd A. Grant et al. 2004, belt transect method enables the researcher to detect vegetation problem, to plan management treatments, to evaluate results of restoration efforts and it also helps to develop wildlife-habitat models. We selected two sites viz; Site-A and Site-B within the village forest for sampling.

In case of larger study area, the conscientious study of minimum one hectare area can produce representative sample (M.G. Thakare, 2012); hence at each site, we sampled one hectare area of the village forest by laying 20 quadrats having dimension of 25m X 20m each, with the help of rope, compass and measuring tape. All the trees inside the quadrat having GBH (Girth at breast height) \geq 30 cm identified, counted and noted

Formulae applied for calculations:

After collecting data from Site-A and Site-B of the Yella village forest, we calculate four commonly used diversity indices viz; Margalef's Index, Simpson's Index, Shannon-Wiener Index and Pielou's Index and additive model of Whittaker's diversity components i.e., alpha (α)-, beta (β)-, and gamma (γ)- diversity.

1. Margalef's Index:

 $D_{Mg} = (S-1)/Ln (N)$

down.

Where, S = number of species, and N = total number of individuals

Generally, the values of Margalef's index ranges from 0 to 8, the higher values indicate higher diversity.

2. Simpson's Index:

$$D = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{R} ni(ni-1)}{N(N-1)}$$

Where, R is total no. of species pi is the proportion of individuals in ith species, *ni* is the number of individual species and N is total number of species. This formula is used for large sampling area.

The value of D lies between 0 to 1. The value of D = 0 shows infinite diversity and D = 1 shows no diversity. That is, the diversity increases with decreasing value of D.

3. Shannon-Wiener Index:

H' = $-\sum_{i=1}^{S} pi$ ln pi

$$pi = ni/N$$

Where, S = Total no. of species, $p_i =$ Proportion of individuals of each species belonging to the ith species, $n_i =$ no. of individual of ith species, and N = Total number of individuals.

Generally, Shannon–Wiener index value ranges from 1 to 4, and higher values shows higher diversity.

4. Pielou's evenness Index:

J = H'/ln(S)

e-ISSN 2347 - 517X

Where, H' is Shannon Weiner diversity and S is the total number of species in a study area.

Its value ranges from 0 to 1, where, 0 shows no evenness and 1 shows complete evenness.

5. Formulae to calculate Alpha, beta and gamma diversity:

1. Alpha diversity:

Alpha (a) diversity = Total Number of tree species in sampled site.

2. Beta diversity:

Beta diversity of Site-A and Site-B (β_{ab}) = (α_a -C_{ab}) + (α_b -C_{ab})

Where, a_a and a_b are the alpha diversity of Site-A and Site-B respectively. And C_{ab} is the number of common species in Site-A and Site-B.

3. Gamma diversity:

Gamma diversity of Site-A and Site-B = pooled Alpha diversity of both the Site.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS :

The tree species found in Site-A and Site-B of the village forest of Yella village are given in table no. 1 and table no. 2 respectively.

Sag (Tectona grandis), Palash (Butea monosperma), Ain (Terminalia alata), Mowai (Lannea coromandelica), Dhawda (Anogeissus latifolia) etc. are the predominant tree species at both the Site. From collected data, diversity indices are calculated for each sampled site of Yella village forest. Margalef index, Pielou's index and Whittaker's diversity components are simply calculated on sheet by using their respective Simpson's index formulas. whereas. and Shannon-Wiener index are calculated by applying formula on Microsoft excel worksheet. The values obtained are shown in the table no. 3. The Margalef's index values shows that both the site has poor tree diversity and Site-B has slightly better diversity than Site-A. Simpson's index value of both the sites are nearer to zero, which indicates that the degree of evenness is high or dominance is less. The value of Shannon-Wiener index indicates that both the sites have an average tree diversity. Like





Simpson's index, the Pielou's evenness index also shows that the degree of evenness is high at both the sites and slightly higher in Site-A.

The additive model of Whittaker's diversity components clearly shows that Site-A have total 13 tree species and Site-B has 17 tree species. When both the sites are compared, it is found that Site-B has 4 unique species which are not present in Site-A. Both the sites share 13 common species and total species richness of both the sites are 17.

It is observed that the values of Margalef's index, Pielou's index and Whittaker's diversity components can be highly influenced by the size of the sample. Margalef's index neglects species evenness, whereas, Pielou's index and Whittaker's diversity components needs the correct estimation of all the species present in the area for its calculation, which is not possible for larger area. Simpson's index is based on dominance and always influenced by the most abundant species and is least sensitive to species richness.

CONCLUSION:

For all sample sizes, the Shannon-Wiener index is best to be used because it is based on information theory and considers both species evenness and species richness. From small to medium sample size, the combination of Shannon-Wiener index and the additive model of Whittaker's diversity Components can be used, because, along with biodiversity status, it can provide detailed information of forest for better understanding and management of forest ecosystem.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT :

Authors are thankful to villagers of Yella for their kindness, help and participation in the present study.

REFERENCES:

- India State of Forest Report (2015): Forest survey of India, Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Government of India: Pp. 191.
- India State of Forest Report (2021): Forest survey of India, Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Government of India: Pp. 378.
- Lande, R. (1996): Statistics and partitioning of species diversity, and similarity among multiple communities. Oikos, Vol. 76: Pp. 5–13.
- Margalef, R. (1958): Information theory in ecology. General Systems, Vol. 3: Pp. 36-71.
- PEET R.K. (1974): The measurements of species diversity. Ann. Rev. Eeal. System., n° 5: Pp. 285-307.
- PIELOU E.C. (1969): An introduction to mathematical ecology. Wiley, New York: Pp. 286.
- Shannon, C. E. and Weaver, W. (1949): The mathematical theory of communication. Urbana, IL. University of Illinois Press: Pp. 379-423.
- Simpson, E H. (1949): Measurement of diversity. Nature: Pp. 163-688.
- Thakare M. G. (2012): A comparative study of energy consumption pattern, energy sources among houses of Mendha-Lekha village with and without biogas plants and its impact on fringe areas of Mendha-Lekha village of Gadchiroli district of Maharashtra state. Nagpur University, Nagpur, India: Pp.263.
- Todd A. Grant, Elizabeth M. Madden, Robert K. Murphy, Karen A. Smith and Melvin P. Nenneman (2004): Monitoring Native Prairie Vegetation: The Belt Transect Method, Ecological Restoration, Vol. 22, No. 2: Pp. 106-111.





Original Article

Veech, J.A., Summerville, K.S., Crist, T.O. & Gering, J.C. (2002). The additive partitioning of diversity: recent revival of an old idea. Oikos, Vol. 99: Pp. 3–9. Whittaker, R.H. (1960), Vegetation of the Siskiyou Mountains, Oregon and California. Ecological Monographs, Vol.30: Pp.279-338.

Sr. No. Species Scientific name Family No. of individuals 1 Ain Terminalia alata Combretaceae 42 2 Amla Phyllanthus emblica Euphorbiaceae 1 Bor 19 3 Zizyphus mauritiana Rhamnaceae Tiliaceae 4 9 Dhaman Grewia tilifolia Anogeissus latifolia Combretaceae 17 5 Dhawada Cleistanthus collinus 2 6 Garari Euphorbiaceae 3 7 Khair Acacia catechu Mimosaceae 8 Moha Madhuca indica Sapotaceae 8 9 48 Mowai Lannea coromandelica Anacardiaceae 10 Meliaceae 2 Neem Azadirachta indica 11 Palash Fabaceae 49 Butea monosperma 12 Tectona grandis Verbenaceae 107 Sag 13 Tendu Diospyros melanoxylon Ebenaceae 39 Total 346

Table No. 1: Tree species at Site-A of Yella village forest

Table No. 2: Tree species at Site-B of Yella village forest

Sr. No.	Species	Scientific name	Family	No. of individuals
1	Ain	Terminalia alata	Combretaceae	69
2	Amba	Mangifera indica	Anacardiaceae	7
3	Amla	Phyllanthus emblica	Euphorbiaceae	2
4	Bor	Zizyphus mauritiana	Rhamnaceae	3
5	Dhaman	Grewia tilifolia	Tiliaceae	4
6	Dhawada	Anogeissus latifolia	Combretaceae	30
7	Garari	Cleistanthus collinus	Euphorbiaceae	7
8	Ghoti	Ziziphus xylopyrus	Rahamnaceae	10
9	Karai	Millusa velutina	Anonaceae	1
10	Khair	Acacia catechu	Mimosaceae	8
11	Moha	Madhuca indica	Sapotaceae	25
12	Mowai	Lannea coromandelica	Anacardiaceae	47
13	Neem	Azadirachta indica	Meliaceae	10
14	Palash	Butea monosperma	Fabaceae	41
15	Sag	Tectona grandis	Verbenaceae	138
16	Sona	Bauhinia racemosa	Caesalpiniaceae	2
17	Tendu	Diospyros melanoxylon	Ebenaceae	9
			Total	413

Table no. 3: Diversity index values of Site-A and Site B

Sr. No.	Diversity Index		Site-A	Site-B
1	Margalef's Index (D _{Mg})		2.0525	2.8223
2	Simpson's Index (D)		0.1667	0.1721
3	Shannon-Wiener Index (H')		2.0199	2.1272
4	Pielou's Evenness Index (J)		0.7875	0.7599
5	Additive model of Whittaker's	α	13	17
		β	4	
	diversity Components		17	