A Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal



Original Article



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCHES IN BIOSCIENCES, AGRICULTURE AND TECHNOLOGY

© www.ijrbat.in

PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF SALICYLIC ACID (SA) AND JASMONIC ACID (JA) TREATED PLANTS OF ACALYPHA INDICA.LINN.

S. Shireesha and A.A Haleem Khan*

Department of Botany, Telangana University, Dichpally, Nizamabad Email- <u>bunnishireesha@gmail.com</u> & <u>aahaleemkhan@gmail.com*</u>

ABSTRACT:

Acalypha indica Linn. belongs to Euphorbiaceace family, distributed in the world wide. It is major source of bio active compounds. In the present work, we try to assess the phytochemical and antimicrobial activity of Salicylic acid (SA) and Jasmonic acid (JA) treated Acalypha plants. Plants were treated with alone and different concentration combinations of SA and JA. After 55 days treated plant leaves were used for extractions. Methanol and Chloroform extractions were prepared by Soxhlet method and antimicrobial activity carried out by using agar well diffusion method. The extractions were evaluated against on gram positive and negative bacteria and fungi like Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli, Proteus vulgarius, Salmonella typhi, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Aspergillus niger, Candida albicans and Fusarium oxysporum. In this work, we report SA and JA treated plant methanol extracts were showed promising secondary metabolites, antibacterial and antifungal activity when compared to control plants. Especially, alone 400µM JA treated plant were showed more activity against Bacillus subtilis (21mm) and combination of SA & JA treated plants are showed high activity against Bacillus subtilis (18mm), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (12mm), Salmonella typhi (19mm), Escherichia coli (14mm), Proteus vulgarius (23mm) and Candida albicans (21mm) respectively. The result indicated that, combination of SA and JA treated plant methanol extracts were a major source of bio active compounds, which are leads to high antimicrobial activity against bacterial and fungal cultures, when compared to alone and combination of SA and JA treated chloroform extractions.

Keywords: Acalypha indica.L, Agar well diffusion method, Antimicrobial activity.

INTRODUCTION:

Acalypha indica Linn. (Euphorbiaceae) is an annual erect herb and it is cosmopolitan distributed common weed plant(Ramachandran, 2008). It is used in treating pneumonia, asthma, rheumatism and also an emetic emmenagogue and anthelmintic (Chopra 1956; Hiremath et al., and Nayar, 1999). The juice of this plant used to treat a number of skin disorders and been reported also to possess contraceptive activity (Bourdy and Walker, 1992). In India, Acalypha indica has been extensively used in Ayurvedic system of medicine for various ailments like hepato protective, antiinflammatory, antitissive, antifungal, wound healing and also antibacterial agent (Gupta, 2010). The ethanol and water extract of leaves from Acalypha indica were effective against Gram negative bacteria, Gram-positive bacteria and fungi. Sensitivity towards

dose dependent aqueous leaves and root extracts. *Aspergillus niger*and, *Candida albicans* were resistant to both ethanol and water extract of all *Acalypha indica*. (Jagatheeswari *et al.*, 2013).

The production of secondary metabolites could be enhanced by using elicitors, the pathogen-derived (exogenous) or plantderived (endogenous) signal molecules, which are inducers of the plant defense response. These defense responses are activated through a signal transduction pathway via recognition of the elicitor by the receptors located in the plasma membrane and formation of secondary messengers, such as jasmonates, ethylene, and salicylic (SA) acid (Odjakova and Hadjiivanova,2001; Talarczyk and Hennig, 2001) Salicylic acid(SA) and Jasmonic acid (JA) is one the of endogenous signal molecules, which the production of are enhance secondary metabolites and essential oils, the most commonlyused elicitors like Jasmonic acid(JA) and methyl Jasmonate (Me- JA)(Zhao et al., 2005). The broad possibilities of using the plant are linked to its production of essential oils, which are a mixture of chemical compounds, mainly mono-and sesquiterpens (Kennedy and Wightman, 2011; Sharopov et. al., 2015). They showed strong antimicrobial (Moon et al., 2006), antifungal activities (D'Auria et al., 2005). SA are two key signal molecules widely used as elicitor compounds inducing secondary metabolites in many plants, plant cell and callus cultures (Sirvent and Gibson, 2002)

The present effort has been made objective of this current study was to evaluate the influence of Jasmonic acid (JA) and Sallcylic acid (SA) on secondary metabolite production and antimicrobial activity of *Acalypha indica* L.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Process of plant treatment with SA and JA:

Acalypha indica L plants were transplanted in to the field. Plants were treated with alone and combination of Salicylic acid (SA) and Jasmonic acid (JA) with different concentrations for every 10 days intervals up to 55 days. The treatment concentrations like T1 (Control), T2 (1mM SA), T3 (3mM SA) T4 (200 µM JA) T5 (400 µM JA) T6 (1mM SA + 200µM JA) T7 (1mM SA+400µM JA) T8 (3mM SA+200µM JA) and T9 (3mM SA + 400µM JA).

Sample preparation:

After 55 days of grown plants leaves were used as extraction material. The obtained leaves were washed with tap water to remove dust and then were shade dried at room temperature. Then crushed to fine powder using mortar and pestle and stored at refrigerator $(4^{0}C)$ for further use.

Preparatio of extracts:

Preparation of extracts by using Soxhlet extracting method. 100g of dried leaf material was taken in a soxhlet and 500ml of 80% methanol was added. The temperature is set to 70^{0} C and the extraction was carried out upto 5hours. The extract obtained is filtered and concentrated at 70^{0} C. Dried extracts were kept in refrigerator and used for

further study (Kuluvar et al., 2009).

Preliminary Qualitative Phytochemical Analysis:

Preliminary phytochemical screening was carried out to identify the secondary metabolites present in the leaf extracts of *Acalypha indica* L (Harborne, 1973).

Alkaloids: To 2 ml of extract, 2 ml of Wagner's reagent wasadded. The appearance of a brownish precipitate indicates the presence of alkaloids.

Flavonoids: To 2 ml of extract, 2 ml of 10% lead acetate was added. Yellowish- green colour indicates the presence of flavonoids.

Saponins: To 2 ml of extract, 2 ml of Benedicts reagent was added. Bluishblack precipitate indicates the presence of saponins.

Tanins: To 2 ml of extract, 0.1% ferric chloride was added. Brownish-green colour indicates the presence of tannins.

Terpenoids: To 2 ml of extract, 2 ml of chloroform and conc. H₂SO₄ was carefully added to form a layer. A reddish-brown colour indicates the presence of terpenoids.

Reducing sugars: The extract was shaken with distilled water and filtered. The filtered was boiled with Fehlings solution A and B for few minutes. An orange-red precipitate indicates the presence of reducing sugars.

Glycosides: The extract was hydrolysed with HCL solution and neutralized with NaOH solution. A few drops of Fehlings solution A and B were added. Red precipitate indicates the presence of glycosides.

Proteins: The extract was treated with

CuSO₄ solution. Formation of a violetcolor complex indicated the presence of proteins.

Amino acids: To 0.5 ml of extract two drops of freshly prepared 0.2% Ninhydrin reagent was added and heates. The appearance of pink or purple colour indicates the presence of peptides or amino acids.

Steroids: To 2 ml of solvent extract, 2 ml of chloroform and 2 ml of conc. H2SO4 was added slowly and shaken well. The chloroform layer turned red and sulphuric acid layer turned greenish yellow, which indicated the presence of sterols.

Detection of Oils and Resins: Test solution was applied on filter paper. Transparent appearance on the filter paper indicates the presence of oils and resins.

Carbohydrates: A few drops of Molisch reagent were added to the extract followed by conc. H₂SO₄. The formation of a violet ring at the junction of two liquids indicate the presence of carbohydrates.

Phenols: To 1 ml of extract, 2 ml of distilled water and a few drops of 10% aqueous ferric chloride solution were added. Formation of blue or green color indicates the presence of phenols.

Quinones: 1 ml of extract was treated with alcoholic KOH solution. quinonesgive coloration ranging from red to blue.

Antimicrobial activity against test organisms:

The antimicrobial activity of the crude extract of *Acalypha Indica* was determined by agar well diffusion method (Naragani etal., 2014). Nutrient agar (NA) and Czapek-Dox (CD) agar media were used for culturing the test bacteria and fungi respectively. Nutrient agar (NA) medium (100 ml) was sterilized at 15 lbs pressure $(121^{0}C)$ for 15min, cooled and 0.5 inoculated with ml of test bacterial suspension. After solidification of agar medium, wells of about 5mm diameter were punched with sterilized cork borer, In case of antifungal assay, spore suspension of test fungi was mixed with the cooled, Czapek-Dox (CD) agar medium and poured into petri dishes. The crude extract dissolved in ethanol concentration of 50,100,150 at a μ g/ml (100,200 and 300 μ g/L for fungi) were added to the wells made in the medium. Adding only ethanol to the wells served as control. The plates were incubated at 30° C for 24h for bacteria and 24-72h for fungi and thediameter of the inhibition zones was measured.

The antimicrobial activity of the leaf chloroform extracts methanol and produced by the Acalypha indica were tested against bacteria viz. Bacillus subtilis (ATCC6644), Salmonella typhi (ATCC6538) Escherichia coli (ATCC15597). Candida albicans (ATCC 10231), Proteus vulgaris (ATCC29905), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC27853) Fusarium oxysporum (ATCC52429) and Aspergillus niger (ATCC10864) by agar-diffusion assay.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Plants are major source of bio active compounds, which are used in treatment of human diseases. Based on the temperature and soil conditions might be to change the concentration of phyto chemicals. Some of the plant hormones (Salicylic acid & Jasmonic acid) are also influence the phytochemical concentration in plant species. The leaf of Acalyphaindica has presence of tannins, cardiac glycosides, alkaloids. flavonoids, terpenoids, Antharaquinones and steroids are absent (Darshini, 2015).

ACCES

SA and JA have been proved to be able to produce several compounds (alkaloids, terpenoid and phenolic, phytoalexins, coumarins and taxanes) in many plant species (Fits Memelink., 2000). In the and qualitative analysis, maximum bioactive compounds were present in leaf extract methanol oils and except steroids compounds (Table.1) whereas, chloroform leaf extraction contains low levels of phytochemicals (Table.2).

Due to JA and SA treatments, the plants were containing high concentrations of secondary metabolite scompared to the control plants. The maximum phytochemials are present in l e a f methanol extract compared to chloroform extract.

Foliar application of SA at the conentration of 50 mg/L, *Simarouba glauca* plants produce the secondary metabolites at water stress conditions (Awate and Gaikwas, 2014). Addition of jasmonic acid in plant micropropagation media, JA involved in the ativation of genes, that may help in biosynthesis of secondaray metabolites (Pirbalouti *et al.*, 2014). Similarly, methanol extract of SA and JA treated plants were containing high

of alkaloids, flavonoids, content terpenoids and steroids were absent. Due to Alone and combination of SA and JA treated plants are contain high phytochemical constituents (Table 1,2) when compared to control plants. Whereas, some of the phyochemicals such as, steroids, phenols, quinones and oils were not present in the both extractions. Acalypha indica.L methanol extracts were exhibiting strong antimicrobial activity when compared to chloroform solvent extract (Darshini., 2015). Methanol extract showed maximum inhibition zone against Salmonella typhi (20.1±1.3) and no effect on Proteus Vulgaris and Pseudomonas (Govindarajan et al., 2008; Vijayarekha et al., 2015). Similarly, chloroform extract of Acalypha indica.L plants has been showed maximum inhibition zone against Bacillus subtilis (5mm),Salmonella typhi (4mm), Escherichia coli (5mm) and Cadida albucans (8mm) no effect Proteus vulgarius on and pseudomonas aeruginosa (Table.4).

At the concentration of 50%, all the essential oils isolated from the plants grown on the media with the addition of JA, regardless of its concentration, exhibited stronger activity towards C. albicans (9.7-12.3mm) in comparison with the control. The antimicrobial activity of Lavandula essential oils has been commonly tested. It has been determined that essential oils inhibit the propagation of Proteus vulgaris (Prabuseenivasan 2006). et al., Escherichia coli (Abroom and Azar et 2011; Criste *et* al., al., 2014), Bacillus subtilis (Prabuseenivasan et al., 2006; Abroom and Azar *et al.*, 2011). Similarly, methanol extract of (1MmSA+200µMJA) treated plants are showed more activity against *Proteus vulgaris* (22mm) (Table.3).

We observed at 150µg/mg concentration of methanol extract of 3mm SA treated plants were showed maximum inhibition against Bacillus subtilis (21mm) (Fig.3) and combination of (1mMSA+200uMJA) & (3mMSA+400uMJA) methanol extract showed more activity against grampositive and negative bacteria and fungi like Bacillus subtilis (21mm), Proteus vulgarius (22mm) (Fig. 2), Samonella typhi (15mm), Pseudomonas (12mm) and Cadida albicans (19mm) respectively, when compared to control plants. Rest of the alone and combinations of SA and JA treated plant methanol and chloroform extracts were showed minimum inhibition zone observed against above mentioned bacterial and fungal cultures (Table 3&4).

Because of salicyclic acid and jasmonic acid treatment may influence the secondary metabolite production like alkaloids and essential oils, due to the high production of secondary metabolite might be a for performed maximum reason inhibition activity on bacteria and fungi.

CONCLUSION:

In the present work, data the alone indicates and different combinations of SA and JA treated plants exhibit the more phytochemical constituents and promising activity against gram-positive and gramnegative bacteria and fungi when compared to control plants.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

The first author (Shireesha) is thankful to department of Botany for providing opportunity to carry out the work. The corresponding author (Haleem khan) is grateful to department of Botany, Telangana University for giving suggestions and encouragement for this work.

REFERENCES:

- Abroomand, A.P., Torabbeigi, М., Sharifan, A. and Tehrani, M.S., 2011. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of the essential oil o f Lavandula angustifolia isolated by solvent free microwave assisted extraction and hydro distillation.
- Bourdy, G. and Walter, A., 1992. Maternity and medicinal plants in vanuatur, the cycle of reproduction. Journal of ethnopharmacology, 37(3), pp.179-196.
- Chopra, R.N. and Nayar, S.L.,1956. Glossary of Indian medicinal plants. Council of Scientific and Industrial Research; New Delhi.
- Govindarajan, M., Jebanesan, A.,
 Reetha, D., Amsath, R.,
 Pushpanathan, T. and Samidurai,
 K., 2008. Antibacterial activity of
 Acalypha indica L. Eur Rev Med
 Pharmacol Sci, 12(5), pp.299-302.
- Gundlach, H., Müller, M.J., Kutchan, T.M. and Zenk, M.H., 1992. Jasmonic acid is a signal transducer in elicitor-induced plant cell cultures.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 89(6), pp.2389-2393.

ACCES

OPEN

- Harborne, Jeffrey Barry. A guide to modern techniques of plant analysis. Chapman and Hall, 1973.
- Hiremath, S.P., Rudresh, K., Badami, S., Patil, S.B. and Patil, S.R., 1999. Post-coital anti fertility activity of Acalypha indicaL. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 67 (3), pp.253-258.
- Jagatheeswari, D., Deepa, J., Ali, H.S.J. and Ranganathan, P., 2013. Acalypha indica L-An important medicinal plant: Areview of its traditional uses and pharmacological properties. International Journal of Research in Botany, 3(1), pp.19-22.
- Kennedy, D.O. and Wightman, E.L., 2011. Herbal extracts and phytochemicals: plant secondary metabolites and the enhancement of human brain function. Advances in Nutrition, 2(1), pp.32-50.
- Mandras, N., Nostro, A., Roana, J., Scalas, D., Banche, G., Ghisetti, V., Del Re, S., Fucale, G., Cuffini, A.M. and Tullio, V., 2016. Liquid and vapor- phase antifungal activities of essential oils against Candida non-albicans albicans and Candida. BMC complementary and alternative medicine, 16(1), pp.1-7.

- Moon, Т., Wilkinson, J.M. and H.M.. 2006. Cavanagh, activity Antiparasitic of two Lavandula essential oils against Guardia duodenalis, Trichomonas vaginalis and Hexamita inflata. Parasitology research, 99(6), pp.722-728.
- Odjakova, M. and Hadjiivanova, C., 2001. The complexity of pathogen defense in plants. *Bulg. J. Plant Physiol, 27*(1-2), pp.101-109.
- Pushpakumara, D., Marambe, B., Weerakoon, D., Bera, A.K., Tirimanne, T.S., Gamage, M.I.P., Withanawasam, M.O. and Wasinghe, M.R., Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Agriculture...-TIIKM.
- Ramachandran, J., 2008. Herbs of siddha medicine/the first 3D book on herbs. *Murugan PPatthipagam, Chennai, India*, 156.
- Sharopov, F.S., Wink, M. and Setzer, W.N., 2015. Radical scavenging and antioxidant of activities essential oil components- An experimental and computational investigation. Natural product communications, 10(1), p.1934578X1501000135.
- Sirvent, T. And Gibson, D., 2002

Induction of hypericins and hyperforin in Hypericum perforatum L. In response to biotic and chemical elicitors. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, 60 (6), pp.311-320.

ACCES

OPEN

e-ISSN 2347 – 517X

Original Article

- Talarczyk, A. and Hennig, J., 2001. Early defence responses in plants infected with pathogenic organisms. Cellular and molecular biology letters, 6(4), pp.955-970.
- Vijayarekha, P., Sangottaiyan, N., Noorjahan, A. and Ambiga, S., 2015. Antibacterial activity of Acalypha indica Linn. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 4, pp.1133-1138.
- Naragani, K., U. Mangamuri, V. Muvva, S. Poda, and R. K. Munaganti (2016). "Antimicrobial potential of streptomyces cheonanensis vuk-a from mangrove origin". *International Journal of Pharmacy* and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Vol.8, no.3, pp. 53-57,





T1 (5mm)

T7 (15mm)

T8 (22mm)

T9 (23mm)

Fig.1 T8 & T9 Methanol extract showed maximum inhibition zone against *Proteious vulgarius*



T1 (3mm)

T5 (9mm)

T9 (14mm)

Fig.2 T9 Methanol extract showed maximum inhibition zone against Escherichia *coli*

I J R B A T, Issue (Special-17), June 2021: 645-656 A Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal





T₈ C.a (21mm)

T₇**F.O (10mm)**

T₈ (10mm)

Fig.3 Methanol extract showed maximum inhibition zone against fungi



T9 (18mm)

T5 (21mm)

T1 (5mm)

Fig.4 Methanol extract showed maximum inhibition zone against Bacillus



| Sr. | Secondary | Test | Methanol extract | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No. | metabolite | | T1 | T2 | тз | Т4 | Т5 | Т6 | Т7 | Т8 | Т9 | | | | | | |
| 1 | Alkaloids | Wagner's test | + | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | ++ | +++ | + | | | | | | |
| 2 | Glycosides | Keller-Kilianii test | | | | | | | + | + | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Flavonoids | Shinoda test | + | + | + | + | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | | | | | | |
| 4 | Saponins | Forthing test | | | | | | + | + | + | + | | | | | | |
| 5 | Tannins | Braemer's test | | + | + | - | | | | + | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Terpenoids | Salkowski test | + | + | + | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | | | | | | |
| 7 | Reducing sugars | Fehling test | | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | | | | | |
| 8 | Proteins | Fraction + CuSo4 | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | | | | | | |
| 9 | Amino acids | Ninhydrin test | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | ++ | + | + | | | | | | |
| 10 | Steroids | Fraction+chlorofor m+Con H2So4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Carbohydrates | Fehling test | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | +++ | ++ | ++ | | | | | | |
| 12 | Oils & Resins | Filter paper test | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Phenols | Forthing test | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + | | | | | | |
| 14 | Quinones | Forthing test | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table1. Phytochemical analysis from methanol extract of SA&JA treated Acalyphaindica.Lplants.

-- (Absent), + (low) and +++ (High).

| Table.2 | Phytocemical | analysis | from | choroform | extracts | of | SA&JA | treated | Acalypha | indica L |
|---------|--------------|----------|------|-----------|----------|----|-------|---------|----------|----------|
| plants. | | | | | | | | | | |

| Sr. | Secondary | | | | C | hlorofo | rm ex | tract | | | |
|-----|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----|----|---------|-------|-------|-----|-----------|----|
| No. | metabolite | Test | T1 | T2 | Т3 | T4 | Т5 | Т6 | Т7 | T8 | Т9 |
| 1 | Alkaloids | Wagner's test | | + | + | | + | + | ++ | +++ | + |
| 2 | glycosides | Keller-Kilianii test | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Flavonoids | Shinoda test | | | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 4 | Saponins | Forthing test | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Tannins | Braemer's test | | + | + | - | | | | + | |
| 6 | Terpenoids | Salkowski test | - | | | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 7 | Reducing sugars | Fehling test | | | + | | | + | + | + | + |
| 8 | Proteins | Fraction + CuSo4 | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 9 | Amino acids | Ninhydrin test | + | + | + | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | + |
| 10 | Steroids | Fraction+chlorofor m +Con H2So4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Carbohydrates | Fehling test | + | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | +++ | ++ | ++ |
| 12 | Oils & Resins | Filter paper test | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Phenols | Forthing test | | | | - | | | | | |
| 14 | Quinones | Fraction + KOH | | | | | | | | | |

-- (Absent), + (low) and +++ (High).

 ${}_{\rm Page}654$

A Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal



Table.3 Antimicrobial activity of leaf methanol extract of SA&JA treated and controlplants of Acalypha indica L

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Zone | of inh | ibition | ı (mr | n) | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Sr. No. | Micro organism | Т | `1 (ug | / ml) | T | 2 (ug/: | m1) | т | 3 (ug/: | ml) | T | 4 (ug/ | m1) | Т5 | 6 (ug/r | n1) | Т | 6 (ug/ | ml) | Т | 7 (ug/ | ml) | T | 8 (ug/ | m1) | | Т9 (| ug/ml) |
| | | 50 | 100 | 150 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 50 | 100 | 150 |
| | | 00 | 00± | 02± | 00± | 03± | 05± | 00± | 02± | 08± | 00± | 04± | 06±0 | 05 | 10±0 | 21 ±0 | 00± | 05± | 10±0 | 06± | 12±0 | 17± | 05± | 12±0 | 16± | 02 | 05± | 18± |
| 1 | Bacillus subtilis | ±0 0 | 00 | 0.45 | 00 | 0.44 | 0.88 | 00 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 00 | 0.12 | .47 | $^{\pm 0}_{.7}$ | .42 | .04 | 00 | 86 | .43 | 0.0 5 | .59 | 0.07 | $0.9 \\ 2$ | /55 | 0.64 | ±0 .7 7 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| | | 00 | | | | 00± | 04± | 00± | 04± | 06± | 00± | 02± | 07±0 | 00±0 | 05±0 | 08±0 | 02± | 04± | 09±0 | 05± | 10±0 | 10± | 05± | 07±0 | 12± | 02 | 04± | 07± |
| 2 | pseudomonas | ±0 0 | 00±0 | 00±0 | 00± | 00 | 0.34 | 00 | 0.55 | 0.22 | 00 | 0.05 | .06 | 0 | .06 | .46 | 0.0 9 | 0.14 | .66 | 0.3 5 | .42 | 0.19 | 0.8 7 | .03 | 0.16 | ±0 .6 5 | 0.66 | 0.66 |
| | | 00 | 00±0 | 02± | 00± | 02± | 05± | 00± | 03± | 07± | 00± | 05± | 10±0 | 00±0 | 07±0 | 11±0 | 07± | 09± | 15±0 | 08± | 12±0 | 18 ± | 10± | 14±0 | 19 ± | 05 | 09± | 10± |
| 3 | Salmonell typhi | ±0 0 | 0 | 0.18 | 00 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 00 | 0.27 | 0.06 | 00 | 0.08 | .14 | 0 | .65 | .53 | 0.4 2 | 0.06 | .52 | 0.2 5 | .04 | 0.34 | 0.2 5 | .92 | 0.29 | ±0 .6 6 | 0.43 | 0.35 |
| | | 00 | | 03± | | 00± | 04± | 00± | 05± | 08± | 00± | 08± | 10±0 | 00±0 | 07±0 | 09±0 | 02± | 06± | 10±0 | 04± | 08±0 | 12± | 04± | 06±0 | 14± | 03 | 07± | 09± |
| 4 | Escherichia coli | ±0 0 | 00±0 0 | 0.40 | 00± | 00 | 0.12 | 00 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 00 | 0.33 | .38 | 0 | .23 | .78 | 0.1 5 | 0.50 | .59 | 0.5 7 | .19 | 0.90 | 0.0 3 | .23 | 0.05 | ±0 .5 6 | 0.25 | 0.76 |
| 5 | Proteious vulgaris | 00 ±0 0 | 00±0 0 | 05± 0.09 | 00± 00 | 03± 0.04 | 06± 0.48 | 02 ±0. 37 | 18± 0.45 | 20±. 24 | 00± 00 | 05± 0.29 | 08±. 77 | 00±0 0 | 10±0 .5 | 12±0 .34 | 02± 0.4 8 | 17± 0.19 | 17±0 .07 | 04± 0.1 0 | 08±0 .23 | 15 ± 0.63 | 06± 0.2 3 | 20±0 .75 | 22 ± 0.61 | 06 ±0 .1 0 | 15± 0.05 | 23± 0.63 |
| 6 | Candida albicans | 00 ±0 0 | 00±0 0 | 05± 0.32 | 00± 00 | 00±0 0 | 05± 0.33 | 00± 00 | 03± 0.22 | 03± 0.65 | 04 ±0. 51 | 10± 0.25 | 12±0 .27 | 04 ± | 09±0 .74 | 12±0 .84 | 05± 0.0 9 | 10± 0.58 | 19±0 .16 | 07± 0.0 4 | 14±0 .05 | 20 ± 0.72 | 09± 0.0 5 | 15±0 .36 | 21 ± 0.33 | 06 ±0 .3 0 | 10± 0.89 | 15± 0.22 |
| | | 00 | 0010 | 03± | | 0010 | 05± | | 04± | 04± | 00± | 06± | 10±0 | 02 | 05±0 | 09±0 | 00± | 06± | 08±0 | 04± | 06±0 | 08 ± | 03± | 05±0 | 10± | 02 | 05± | 07± |
| 7 | Aspergillus niger | ±0 0 | 00±0 | 0.49 | 00± | 00±0 | 0.42 | 00± | 0.03 | 0.30 | 00 | 0.65 | .79 | ±0 .6 6 | .17 | .25 | 00 | 0.04 | .74 | $^{0.1}_{2}$ | .48 | 0.26 | 0.5 8 | .09 | 0.52 | ±0 .2 4 | 0.23 | 0.03 |
| | | 00 | | 02± | | | 00± | | 00± | 03± | 00± | 00± | 09±0 | 00 | 06±0 | 08±0 | 02± | 04± | 08±0 | 05± | 08±0 | 10± | 04± | 07±0 | 09 ± | 03 | 06± | 08± |
| 8 | Fusariu m oxyporo us | ±0 0 | 00±0 0 | 0.28 | 00± | 00±0 0 | 00 | 00± | 00 | 0.11 | 00 | 00 | .44 | ±0 0 | .68 | .33 | 0.2 7 | 0.78 | .13 | 0.4 9 | .04 | 0.82 | 0.6 3 | .26 | 0.76 | ±0 .5 6 | 0.25 | 0.51 |

Arts, Commerce & Science College Sonai, Dist. Ahmednagar (MS) India. [ICCCEFS-2021]

A Double-Blind Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal



e-ISSN 2347 – 517X

Original Article

Table.4 Antimicrobial activity of leaf chloroform extract of SA&JA treated and control plants of Acalypha indica.L

| | | | | | | | | | | | Zon | e of in | nhibiti | on(mr | n) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Sr. No. | Micro organism | T | T1 (ug/ml) | | | T2 (ug/ml) | | | T3 (ug/ml) | | | T4 (ug/ml) | | | T5 (ug/ml) | | | T6 (ug/ml) | | | T7 (ug/ml) | | | 8 (ug/1 | m1) | | T9 (ug/ | ml) |
| | | 50 | 100 | 150 | 5 0 | 100 | 150 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 5 0 | 100 | 150 | 50 | 10 0 | 150 | 50 | 10 0 | 150 | 50 | 10 0 | 15 0 | 50 | 100 | 15 0 |
| 1 | Bacillus subtilis | 00 ± 00 | 00 ± 00 | 02 ± 0.05 | 00 ±0 0 | 00± 00 | 03± 0.08 | 00 ±0 0 | 00± 00 | 04± 0.04 | 00 ±0 0 | 02± 0.07 | 04±0 .26 | 1± 0.6 | 02±0 .41 | 05±0 .04 | 1±00 | 02± 0.51 | 10±0 .47 | 02± 0.6 1 | 02± 0.11 | 05±0 .05 | 02± 0.0 3 | 06± 0.10 | 10± 0.50 | 02± 0.1 8 | 04±0 .03 | 04± 0.55 |
| 2 | pseudomonas | 00 ± 00 | 00 ± 00 | 00 ± 00 | 00 ±0 0 | 00± 00 | 02± 0.19 | 00 00 ±0 0 | 02± 0.42 | 04± 0.19 | 00 ±0 0 | 00± 00 | 04±0 .19 | 0± 00 | 00±0 0 | 00±0 0 | 2±00 | 00± 00 | 09±0 .62 | 00± 00 | 03 ±0 .3 5 | 04±0 .69 | 03± 0.2 8 | 08± 0.44 | 11± 0.07 | 00± 00 | 05±0 .82 | 07± 0.07 |
| 3 | Samonell typhi | 00 ± 00 | 00 ± 00 | 00 ± 00 | 00 ±0 0 | 02± 0.04 | 03± 0.03 | 00 ±0 0 | 02± 0.17 | 05± 0.21 | 00 ±0 0 | 02± 0.32 | 05±0 .09 | 0± 00 | 05±0 .08 | 08±0 .36 | 1.1± 00 | 05± 0.28 | 05±0 .07 | 00± 00 | 0.0± 0.10 | 06±0 .04 | 00± 00 | 04± 0.29 | 09± 0.08 | 05± 0.4 4 | 09±0 .31 | 10± 0.62 |
| | Escherichia coli | 00 | 00 ± | 03 ± | ±0 | 009 | 02± | 00 | 00± | 05± | 00 | 02± | 06±0 | 0± | 02±0 | 04±0 | 1.3 | 05± | 08±0 | 04± | 0. | 03±0 | 04± | 04± | 06± | 00± | 06±0 | 09± |
| 4 | | ± 00 | 00 | 0.02 | 00 0 | ±00 | 0.18 | ±0 0 | 00 | 0.06 | ±0 0 | 0.03 | .01 | 00 | .03 | .09 | ±00 | 0.01 | .01 | 0.4 8 | 4± 0. 68 | .29 | 0.0 7 | 0.51 | 0.22 | 00 | .39 | 0.59 |
| _ | D | 00 | 00 ± | 00 ± | 00 | 00± | 00± | 00 | 02± | 05± | 00 | 00± | 04±0 | ±0 | 03±0 | 04±0 | 1.3 | 03± | 00±0 | 04± | 2±0 | 05±0 | 00± | 06± | 09± | 00± | 05±0 | 05± |
| 5 | Proteious vulgaris | ± 00 | 00 | 00 | ±0 0 | 00 | 00 | ±0 0 | 0.05 | 0.15 | ±0 0 | 00 | .03 | 00 0 | .14 | .33 | 4±0 0 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.0 3 | .27 | .06 | 00 | 0.02 | 0.83 | 00 | .06 | 0.08 |
| 6 | | 00 | 00 ± | 05 ± | 00 | 00± | 04± | 00 | 00± | 04± | 04 | 03± | 06±0 | 00 | 02±0 | 06±0 | 1.3 | 04± | 08±0 | 07± | 3±0. | 14±0 | 00± | 03± | 08± | 00± | 07±0 | 07± |
| 6 | Candida albicans | ± 00 | 00 | 0.13 | ±0 0 | 00 | 0.21 | ±0 0 | 00 | 0.03 | ±0. 17 | 0.08 | .52 | ±0 0 | .07 | .67 | 3±0 0 | 0.29 | .04 | $0.2 \\ 2$ | 54 | .81 | 00 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 00 | .01 | 0.02 |
| 7 | Aspergillus niger | 00 + 00 | 00 ± 00 | 00 ± 00 | 00 ±0 0 | 00± 00 | 00± 00 | 00 ±0 0 | 02± 0.24 | 03± 0.06 | 00 ±0 0 | 04± 0.01 | 04±0 .14 | 0± 00 | 02±0 .58 | 03±0 .05 | 2±00 | 02± 0.73 | 02±0 .41 | 04± 0.3 5 | 1±0. 02 | 06±0 .49 | 02± 0.3 1 | 02± 0.39 | 05± 0.11 | 02± 0.0 9 | 05±0 .07 | 06± 0.57 |
| 8 | Fusarium oxyporous | 00 ± 00 | 00 ± 00 | 00 ± 00 | 00 ±0 0 | 00± 00 | 00± 00 | 00 ±0 0 | 00± 00 | 02± 0.12 | 00 ±0 0 | 00± 00 | 0202 ±0.0 2 | 00 ±0 0 | 00±0 0 | 02±0 .023 | 3±00 | 02± 0.07 | 09±0 .55 | 05± 0.0 2 | 1.5± 0.51 | 08±0 .35 | 03± 0.5 9 | 06± 0.04 | 06± 0.64 | 03± 0.8 0 | 05±0 .18 | 05± 0.03 |

Arts, Commerce & Science College Sonai, Dist. Ahmednagar (MS) India. [ICCCEFS-2021]