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## Preliminaries :

Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function in the finite complex plane. Let $P(f)$ denote the homogeneous differential polynomial in f. As in Hayman [5], such functions will be called differential polynomials in $f$. Thus a differential polynomial $P$ in $f$ is the sum of a finite number of terms of the form af ${ }^{1_{0}}\left(f^{(1)}\right)^{l_{1}} \ldots\left(f^{(m)}\right)^{l_{m}}$ where $f^{(1)}, f^{(2)} \ldots \ldots$ are successive derivatives of f and $1_{0}, 1_{1}$, $\ldots \ldots . .1_{\mathrm{m}}$ are non -negative integers. If $1_{0}+1_{1}+\ldots . .+1_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{n}$ (a fixed positive integer) in every term of $P$, then $P$ is called homogeneous differential polynomial in $f$ of degree $n$. in general, if max $\left(1_{0}+1_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\ldots 1_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\mathrm{n}$ where the maximum is taken over the term of P , then P is said to be a differential polynomial if $f$ of degree at most $n$.
Definition 1: If $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are meromorphic functions, we denote by $S\left(r ; f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ a function of $r$ such that $S(r ;$ $\left.\mathrm{f}_{1}, \mathrm{f}_{2}\right)=o\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} T\left(r, f_{i}\right)\right.$ as $\mathrm{r} \rightarrow \infty^{\infty}$ through all values if $\mathrm{f}^{i} \mathrm{~s}$ are of finite orders and outside a set of finite linear measure.
Here we prove the theorems by using following lemmas.
Lemma 1. [9] If $P$ is a homogenous differential polynomial in $f$ of degree

$$
n \geq 1, \text { then } m\left(r, \frac{P}{f^{n}}\right)=S(r, f)
$$

Lemma 2. : [1] Let $P$ be a homogeneous differential polynomial in $f$ of degree $n$ and suppose that $P$ does not involve $f$. That is, $P$ is a homogeneous differential polynomial of degree $n$ in $f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \ldots$ with coefficients of the form $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{z})$. If P is not a constant and $\mathrm{b}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{q}}$ are distinct elements of c (where q is any positive integer), then

$$
n \sum_{i=1}^{q} m\left(r, b_{i}, f\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{P}\right) \leq T(r, P)+S(r, f)
$$

Lemma 3. [10] Let $f_{1}, f_{2}$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions such that $\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{f}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{f}_{2} \equiv 1$, where $\mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{a}_{2}$ are constants. Then for $\mathrm{i}=1,2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(r, f_{i}\right)<\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f_{1}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f_{2}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, f_{i}\right)+S\left(r, f_{1}, f_{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4 : [1] Let f be a meromorphic function satisfying
$\bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)=S(r, f)$
that P is not a constant.
and let P be a homogeneous differential polynomial in f . Suppose $\bar{N}(r, P)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{P}\right)=S(r, P)$ so that $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{P-a}\right) \neq S(r, P)$ and $\Theta(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{P})=0$ for all $\mathrm{a} \neq 0, \neq \infty$ and there exists no evB for P for distinct zeros in $\bar{C}-\{0, \infty\}$.
A.P. Sing and Dukane [11] have proved the following result.

Theorem A. Let $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ be a meromorphic function and $\pi_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{f})$ be a homogenous differential Polynomial of degree n .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } \quad \frac{T\left(r, \pi_{n}(f)\right)}{T(r, f)} \rightarrow \alpha \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \text { where } \alpha \geq n, \text { then } \\
& \Theta(\infty, f) \leq 1+\frac{1}{m}-\frac{\alpha}{p m n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m$ is the highest derivative occurring in $\pi_{n}(f)$ and $p$ is the number of terms in $\pi_{n}(f)$.

Here we shall prove the following improvement of the above theorem. The result here is independent of the number of terms in $P$.
Theorem 1: Let $\mathrm{f}(z)$ be a meromorphic function and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{f})$ be a homogeneous differential Polynomial of degree n .

$$
\text { Let } \begin{align*}
& \frac{T(r, P)}{T(r, f)} \rightarrow \alpha \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \text { where } \alpha \geq n, \text { then } \\
& \Theta(\infty, f) \leq 1+\frac{1}{m}-\frac{\alpha}{m n} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where m is the highest derivative occurring in $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{f})$.
Proof : Let $\frac{T(r, P)}{T(r, f)} \rightarrow \alpha$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ where $\alpha \geq n$

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { Now } \quad & m(r, P) \leq m\left(r, \frac{P}{f^{n}}\right)+m\left(r, f^{n}\right) \\
= & n m(r, f)+S(r, f) \quad \text { by Lemma } 1 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

At a pole of $f$ of order $P$ which is not a pole of any of the coefficient $a(z)$ of $P, P$ has a pole of order at most pn + mn.
So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(r, P) \leq n N(r, f)+m n \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3) and (4), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(r, P) \leq n T(r, f)+m n \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \\
& \text { Since } \frac{T(r, P)}{T(r, f)} \rightarrow \alpha \text { it fallows that } \\
& \alpha T(r, f) \leq n T(r, f)+m n \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Dividing (5), by $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})$ and taking limit superior, we get

$$
\alpha-n \leq \limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n m \bar{N}(r, f)}{T(r, f)}+\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(r, f)}{T(r, f)}
$$

$$
\text { Thus } \alpha-n \leq n m(1-\Theta(\infty, f))
$$

Consequently,
$\mathrm{nm} \Theta(\infty, \mathrm{f}) \leq \mathrm{nm}+\mathrm{n}-\alpha$ and so

$$
\Theta(\infty, f) \leq 1+\frac{1}{m}-\frac{\alpha}{n m}
$$

Remark - If $m=1, n=1$ then $\Theta(\infty, f) \leq 2-\alpha$ this is an interesting generalization of theorem 3 of S.K. Singh and V. N. Kulkarni [12].
Bhoosnurmath [1] has proved the following result.
Theorem B. Let f be a meromorphic function of finite order. If P is a homogeneous differential polynomial in f of degree n and if P does not involve f , then

$$
n \sum_{b \in C} \delta(b, f) \leq \delta(O, P) \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{T(r, P)}{T(r, f)}
$$

and
$n \sum_{b \in C} \delta(b, f) \leq \Delta(O, P) \liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, P)}{T(r, f)}$
provided that P does not reduce to a constant.
In view of this, we can obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 2. Let f be a meromorphic function of finite order. If P is a homogenous differential polynomial in $f$ of degree $n$ and if $P$ does not involve $f$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, P)}{T(r, f)}=\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, P)}{T(r, f)}=\alpha, \text { then } \\
& n \sum_{a \in C} \delta(a, f) \leq \alpha \delta(O, P) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\quad n \sum_{a \in C} \delta(a, f) \leq \alpha \Delta(O, P)$
provided that P does not reduce to a constant
Corollary 2.2.1 : Let f be a meromorphic function of finite order with
$\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, P)}{T(r, f)}=\alpha, \sum_{a \in C} \delta(a, f)=1$
If P is a homogeneous differential polynomial in f of degree n and not involving f , then
$n \leq \alpha \delta(O, P)$ and $n \leq \alpha \Delta(O, P)$
If $\alpha=n, \delta(O, P)=\Delta(O, P)=1$
provided that P does not reduce to a constant. In particular (10) and (11) hold if
f is an entire function $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, P)}{T(r, f)} \rightarrow \alpha$ and $\sum_{a \in c} \delta(a, f)=1$.
Theorem 2.2.3.1 : Let $\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{f}]$ be a homogeneous differential polynomial such that each term of P involves $f$, then the order of $P[f]$ and order of $f$ are equal.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& P[f]=\sum a f^{1_{0}}\left(f^{(1)}\right)^{1} \ldots\left(f^{(m)}\right)^{1_{m}} \\
& T(r, P[f]) \leq T(r, a)+1_{0} T(r, f)+1_{1} T\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)+\ldots+1_{m} T\left(r, f^{m}\right) . \\
& T(r, P[f]) \leq T(r, f)\left(l_{0}+l_{1} \frac{T\left(r, f^{\prime}\right)}{T(r, f)}+1_{2} \frac{T\left(r, f^{\prime \prime}\right)}{T(r, f)}+\ldots+\frac{T\left(r, f^{m}\right)}{T(r, f)}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{align*}
$$

we have

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{T(r, P)}{T(r, f)} \leq n[(m+1)-m \Theta(\infty, f)]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T\left(r, f^{m}\right)}{T(r, f)} \leq m+1, \text { for all } m \geq 1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (11) in (10), we get
$\log T(r, P[f]) \leq \log T(r, f)+\log C$
$\rho_{p}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log T(r, P(f))}{\log r} \leq \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}=\rho_{f}$
$\therefore \rho_{p} \leq \rho_{f}$
Since a zero or a pole of f , which is not a pole of any coefficient $\mathrm{a}(z)$ of P , is a pole of $\frac{P}{f^{n}}$ of degree mn at most, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
N\left(r, \frac{P}{f^{n}}\right) \leq m n\left(\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}(r, f)\right)+S(r, f) \\
n T(r, f)=T\left(r, f^{n}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq T\left(r \frac{f^{n}}{P}\right)+T(r, P) \\
& \leq T\left(r, \frac{P}{f^{n}}\right)+T(r, P)+0(1) \\
& \\
& \leq T(r, P)+m n\left(\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}(r, f)\right)+S(r, f) \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

Since each term of $P$ involves $f$ term, if follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{N}(r, f) \leq N(r, P) \text { and } \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)<\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{P}\right) \\
& n T(r, f) \leq T(r, P)+m n\left(\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{P}\right)+\bar{N}(r, P)\right)+S(r, f) \\
& \leq T(r, P)+m n(2 T(r, P))+S(r, f) \\
& \leq(1+2 m n) T(r, P)+S(r, f) \\
& \rho_{f}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r} \leq \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log T(r, P[f])}{\log r}=\rho_{P} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

From (12) and (13) we conclude that $\rho_{P}=\rho_{f}$

Theorem 4 : Let f be a meromorphic function satisfying

$$
\bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)=S(r, f)
$$

Let $P(f)$ be a homogeneous differential polynomial which does not reduce to a constant. If $G$ is a mermorphic function such that, $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)=S(r, G)$, then the identity, $\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{G} \equiv 1$ is impossible.

This theorem was proved by H.S. Gopalkrishna and S.S. Bhoosnumath [1] but here we improve this theorem removing the condition $\Theta(0, G)>0$. It is also interesting to note that here we use a different technique.
Proof. Suppose $\mathrm{P}+\underline{\mathrm{G}} \equiv 1$ holds.
Now $\quad \bar{N}_{(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{P}) \leq} \bar{N}_{(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})+\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})}$

$$
=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f}) \text {, by hypothesis }
$$

Therefore $\bar{N}_{(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{P})=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{P}) \text {. }}$
Because

Also

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{P})  \tag{14}\\
\bar{N} \\
(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{P})=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{P})
\end{gather*}
$$

$=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f})$, by hypothesis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{P}\right)=S(r, f)=S(r, P) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore
Then by Lemma 3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(r, P)<\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{P}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+\bar{N}(r, P)+S(r, P, G) \\
& T(r, P)<\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, G)+S(r, P, G), \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

by Lemma 4.
Since $P+G=1$ holds, is follows that $T(r, P) \sim T(r, G)$ and $S(r, G)=S(r, P)$.
By (16) and (17), we have
$T(r, G)<\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+S(r, G) \operatorname{Or} T(r, G)<S(r, G) \quad$ by hypothesis.
This is a contradiction.
Thus, $\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{G} \equiv 1$ is impossible.
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