



## MOLLUSCAN DIVERSITY IN SAIGATA LAKE OF BRAMHAPURI TALUKA, DIST- CHANDRAPUR, MAHARASHTRA, INDIA

**S. D. Misar<sup>1</sup>, A. A. Dhamani<sup>2</sup> and P. R. Chavhan<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department Of Zoology, Janata Mahavidyalaya Chandrapur, (M.S.) India.

<sup>2</sup>Department Of Zoology, Gramgeeta Mahavidyalaya Bhisni, (M.S.) India.

<sup>3</sup>Department Of Zoology, S.S.S.College Ashti, (M.S.) India.

sachin.misar@gmail.com

### Abstract:

Many freshwater molluscan species are at the risk, due to loss and degradation of aquatic habitats by anthropogenic activities. They were frequently considered as a bioindicator organisms, and their productivity plays an important link in the food chain of aquatic fauna. In the present study, molluscan species were collected from Saigata lake near Bramhapuri from July 2014 to December 2014. During the present investigation, a total of 19 molluscan taxa belonging to 2 classes, viz., gastropoda and bivalvia, 14 families were recorded. Out of the 19 species, 15 gastropods and 4 bivalves were recorded. Among the gastropoda group, *Pila globosa* was the most dominant, followed by viviparous *Bengalensis*, *Lymnaea acuminata*, *Lymnaea auricularia*, among bivalvia the dominant species was *Pisidium personatum*, followed by [Lamellidens marginalis](#), *Pisidium casertanum* and *Unio crassus*. The study indicates that the molluscan community could be explored for possible use as biomonitors of pollution in the lake.

**Keywords:** mollusca, gastropoda, bivalvia, biomonitor, saigata.

### Introduction

Several investigations were undertaken on the major benthic animal group of the freshwater system, notable contributions to our knowledge of molluscan fauna have been made by several authors. In view of the rapid and radical degradation of aquatic habitats worldwide, an increasing amount of research is being undertaken to determine the specific composition in benthic communities. Growing efforts are being made to describe, map and understand biodiversity patterns at a wide range of spatial scales (Gray et al., 1990; Gray, 2001). Aquatic ecosystems provide a home to many species including phytoplankton, zooplankton, aquatic plants, insects, molluscs etc. They are organized at many levels from smallest building blocks of life to complete ecosystems, encompassing communities, populations, species and genetic levels. All aquatic ecosystems around the globe are generally colonized by the representatives of phylum Arthropoda and Mollusca. Benthic invertebrates occupy the bottom of the water body. The functional role of benthic communities in the trophic dynamics of river ecosystems is well acknowledged. The composition, distribution of benthic organisms over a period of time provide an index of the ecosystem. In recent years, there is a greater emphasis worldwide for better understanding of the benthic environment. Clarke (1979) attempted to show the utility of molluscs in the primary classification of the rivers in their various trophic status stages. Choubisa (1992) has collected 32 species of molluscs from various freshwater habitats of southern Rajasthan. Harman (1974) has also pointed out

that molluscs are bio-indicators of freshwater pollution.

Molluscs are of great significance because they form the food of fishes and their productivity plays an important link in the food chain. Benthic organisms are detritivores and form an important link in the food chain. On account of their ability to convert low quality and low energy detritus into better quality food for higher organisms in the food web with the unfolding of importance of benthos in the food chain, benthic productivity has been correlated with fish resources. Molluscan communities are good indicators of localized conditions, indicating the water quality. The presence of thriving populations of molluscs indicates the land is not acidic; hardly molluscs survive beyond a pH of 5. (Boycott 1934).

Several workers have pointed out that macrobenthic fauna provides a valuable tool as an indicator of past and present water quality from a pollutional and in general stress viewpoint (Hussainy and Abdulappa, 1967; Rama Rao et al., 1978; Sinha et al., 1989, 1991). Harman (1974) has pointed out that molluscs are bioindicators of freshwater pollution. Compared to a large number of studies conducted in Europe and USA on the use of benthic macroinvertebrates in the monitoring and assessment of water quality, very few investigations have been carried out in India (Gupta 1994; Sivaramakrishnan et al., 1996).

### Materials and Methods

Five sampling sites were selected that represent a range of unimpacted to impacted reaches in the lake which is located at 19.80

longitude and 20.61 latitude. The mollusks were collected by taking three kick net samples from a unit area the contents of the nets were pooled and preserved in 70% ethanol and were identified.

## Result

The distribution of macro benthos fauna in normal condition has been reported to be dependent on the availability and distribution of preferably food items. In fact, their capacity to exploit areas with optimum food supply might be explained by their abundance (Grimas 1965). During the present investigation carried out, a total of 19 molluscan taxa belonging to 2 classes, viz., Gastropoda and Bivalvia, 14 families were recorded. Of the 19 species, 15 gastropods and 4 bivalves were recorded. (Table.1)

## Discussion

Molluscs, a group of most diverse and dominant benthic fauna in water bodies, perform a key role in the functioning of aquatic ecosystem. Molluscans are of great significance because they form the food of fishes and their productivity play an important link in the food chain. Molluscans communities are good indicators of localised conditions, indicating the water quality. The freshwater ecosystems in india harbour a rich diversity of molluscs, representing 212 species belonging to 21 families, out of these, 164 species were recorded from rivers and streams (Subba Rao, 1993). The presence of thriving population of molluscan indicates the land is not acidic; hardly molluscs survive beyond a ph of 5 (Boycott, 1934). Biological monitoring of rivers using macro invertebrate is accepted as a useful tool for the assessment of water quality (Hellowell, 1986).

They are represented in freshwater bodies by only two classes, gastropoda and pelecypoda (Makie 1998) and a group of most diverse and dominant fauna in water bodies. They perform key role in functioning the aquatic ecosystems. The availability of maximum molluscs during summer months could be related to two important ecological phenomenons. (a). The maximum abundance of decomposers settled organic matter and macrophytes on the bottom of water body and, (b). Increased water temperature, activating the process of decomposition of these organic sediments (Malhotra et al 1996) it seems true that the fluctuation brought about by these process in that water body study, create a very conductive micro as well as macro environment for the health growth and multiplication of the

molluscan fauna. Higher abundance of Molluscans with increased water, temperature and decomposed organic matter has been also reported by Bath et al (1999).

In the present study *Pila globosa* was most dominant followed by *viviparous bengalensis*, *Lymnaea acuminata*, *Lymnaea auricularia*, *Bellamya crassa*, *Succinella oblonga* *Borystheninae starobogatov*, *Galba truncatula*, *Vallonia pulchella*, *Perpolita hammonis*, *Archimediella fastigiata*, *Physella gyrina*, *Marisa cornuarietis*. Among Bivalvia the dominant species was *Pisidium personatum*, followed by, *Lamellidens marginalis*, *Pisidium casertanum* and *Unio crassus*. *Viviparous bengalensis* was the second largest dominant species during the present observation. Micheal (1968) recorded a peak of the population of *Vivipara* in April month in West Bengal; such peak population was also observed in May and June month in Mandleshwar station. Several authors (Shrivastava 1956, 1959; Krishnamoorthi 1979; Michael 1968; Sharma (2006) and Gupta 1976) also observed *Vivipara bengalensis* as one of the major species among the benthic fauna. Satyamurti (1960) observed *V. bengalensis* inhabits polluted water bodies in large numbers especially during hot season, buries itself in the mud up to 15 cm depth to aestivate and may be found dead on the bank. The population density of molluscan gets decreased in the period of post monsoon season. Oliver (1960) explained that this may be due to the sudden inflow of water from the catchment area or water inlet or outlet system. The maximum diversity of Molluscans was found in summer season. One of the most important factor which seems to determine the habitat and activities of molluscs is the amount of dissolved salts especially calcium carbonate in water, which is essential material for shell formation (Zahoor et al, 2010). Pennak (2004) reported that the dissolved oxygen is the limiting factor for the distribution of molluscs, absence of molluscan population in lake or river. The diversity of molluscan were changes in different stations during present study this was due to the above factors such as dissolved oxygen, calcium carbonate etc. The bivalve fauna was less rich with 4 species only. Of these, *Lamellidens marginalis* was the most common, being found in 2,3 and 5 stations. The other three species, viz., *Pissidium personatum*, *Pissidium casertanum* was encountered in 3 sites, while *Unio crassus* is also was recorded in a three sites. Thus the present study shows that both gastropod and bivalve communities found to

inhabit the different sites of Saigata lake differential response to habitat degradation and represented by a range of species during pollution.

Table.1

| Taxa                                  | Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 3 | Site 4 | Site 5 |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| <b>Class – Gastropoda</b>             |        |        |        |        |        |
| <b>Family- Viviparidae</b>            |        |        |        |        |        |
| 1. <i>Pila globosa</i>                | +      | +      | +      | +      | +      |
| 2. <i>Vivipara bengalensis</i>        | –      | +      | +      | –      | +      |
| 3. <i>Bellamya crassa</i>             | +      | –      | –      | +      | +      |
| <b>Family- Succineidae</b>            |        |        |        |        |        |
| 4. <i>Succinella oblonga</i>          | –      | –      | +      | –      | +      |
| <b>Family- Valvatidae</b>             |        |        |        |        |        |
| 5. <i>Borystheniinae starobogatov</i> | +      | –      | –      | +      | –      |
| <b>Family- Lymnaeidae</b>             |        |        |        |        |        |
| 6. <i>Lymnaea acuminata</i>           | –      | –      | +      | –      | +      |
| 7. <i>Lymnaea auricularia</i>         | +      | –      | +      | –      | +      |
| 8. <i>Galba truncatula</i>            | –      | +      | –      | +      | +      |
| <b>Family -Valloniidae</b>            |        |        |        |        |        |
| 9. <i>Vallonia pulchella</i>          | +      | –      | –      | –      | +      |
| <b>Family - Oxychilidae</b>           |        |        |        |        |        |
| 10. <i>Perpolita hammonis</i>         | +      | –      | +      | +      | –      |
| <b>Family Turritellidae</b>           |        |        |        |        |        |
| 11. <i>Archimediella fastigiata</i>   | –      | +      | –      | +      | –      |
| <b>Family – Physidae</b>              |        |        |        |        |        |
| 12. <i>Physella gyrina</i>            | +      | –      | –      | +      | –      |
| <b>Family - Ampullariidae</b>         |        |        |        |        |        |
| 13. <i>Marisa cornuarietis</i>        | –      | +      | –      | +      | +      |
| <b>Family – Planorbidae</b>           |        |        |        |        |        |
| 14. <i>Helisoma anceps</i>            | +      | –      | –      | +      | –      |
| 15. <i>Planorbula armigera</i>        | –      | +      | +      | –      | +      |
| <b>Class – Bivalvia</b>               |        |        |        |        |        |
| <b>Family- Sphaeriaceae</b>           |        |        |        |        |        |
| 16. <i>Pissidium personatum</i>       | –      | –      | –      | +      | +      |
| 17. <i>Pissidium casertanum</i>       | –      | +      | –      | +      | –      |
| <b>Family- Unionidae</b>              |        |        |        |        |        |
| 18. <i>Unio crassus</i>               | +      | –      | +      | –      | +      |
| 19. <i>Lamellidance marginalis</i>    | –      | +      | +      | –      | +      |

## Acknowledgement

Author thankful to Principal Janata Mahavidyalaya, Chandrapur and Secretary C.S.P.M., Chandrapur for providing us necessary facilities whose cooperation during this work could make manuscript possible .

## References

- Bath KS, Kaur H, Dhillon SS (1999). Correlation of molluscs with physico chemical factors at Harike Reservoir (Punjab). Indian J Environ Sci. 3: 159-163.
- Boycott A.E. (1934): The habits of land mollusca in Britain, Journal of Ecology: 22, 1-38.
- Choubisa SL (1992). Molluscs as bio-indicators of trophic stages of rivers and lentic environments. Bull. Pure Appl. Sci. 11; 35-40.
- Clarke AH (1979) Gastropods as indicators of trophic lake stages. Nautilus 94: 138-142.
- Grimas, U. (1965) Effect of impoundments on the bottom fauna of high mountain rivers. Acta University Uppasaliensis. 51:5-24.
- Gray, J. S., Clarke, K. R., Warwick, R. M. & Hobbs, G., 1990. Detection of initial effects of pollution on marine benthos: an example from the Ekofisk and Eldfisk oilfields. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 66: 285-299.
- Gray, J. S., 2001. Marine diversity: the paradigms in patterns of species richness examined. *Scientia Marina*, 65: 41-56.
- Gupta, A.(1994): A comparative assessment of methods for biological surveillance of methods of running water quality using macroinvertebrates,

- in N.B. Nair, C.D. Eapen, V.N. Bapat, S. Sadasivam, and P. Gangadharan (eds.), Proc. 3rd National Symposium on Environment, Thiruvananthapuram. Board of Research in Nuclear Sciences, Dept. of Atomic Energy, Govt of India, 209 – 213.
- Gupta S. D. (1976). Macrobenthic fauna of Loni reservoir, J. Ind. Fish. Soc. India 8: 49-59.
- Harman, W.N. (1974): Snails (Mollusca :Gastropoda), in C.W. Hart, and S.L. H. Fuller (eds.), *Pollution ecology of Freshwater Invertebrates*, Academic Press, New York, 275 – 302.
- Hellawell J.M. (1986): Biological Indicator of Freshwater Pollution and Environment Management; Elsevier, London.
- Hussainy, U.S. and Abdulappa, M.K. (1967): Expression of biological data in water pollution research. *Environ. Health* 9 : 210- 219.
- Krishnamoorthi KP, Sarkar R (1979). Macro-invertebrates as indicators of water quality. Proc. Symp. Environ. Biology. Academy of Environmental Biology, Muzaffarnagar, India. S.R. Verma, A. K. Tyagi and S.K. Bansal (eds.) pp. 133-138.
- Malhotra YR, Sharma KK, Thakial MR (1996). Ecology of macroinvertebrates from a fish pond. *Pro. Nat. Acad. Sci. India* 66:53-59.
- Pennak, Robert W. (2004). Fresh water invertebrates of United States: Protozoa to Mollusca , 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. John Wiley and sons, New York, U.S.A.
- Oliver, D.R. (1960) The macroscopic bottom fauna of Laronge, Saskatchew, J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada. 17:607-624.
- Michael R. G. (1968). Studies on the bottom fauna in a tropical freshwater fish pond. *Hydrobiologia* 31: 203-230.
- Mickie GL (1998). Applied Aquatic Ecosystem Concepts, University of guelph Custom Course pack. 12 chapters.
- Rama Rao, S.V., Singh, V.P. and Mall, L.P. (1978): Biological methods for monitoring water pollution level : studies at Ujjain in J.S. Singh and B. Gopal (Eds). *Glimpses of Ecology*, Inter Sci. Pub. Jaipur, 341-348
- Satyamurti, T. S. (1960). Land and freshwater mollusca, *Bull. Madras Govt. Museum.* 177.
- Sharma. S.K (2006): Water quality assessment of Behta River using Benthic macro invertebrates. *Life science journal*, 3 (4): pp 68-74.
- Shrivastava, V.K.(1956). Benthic organisms of freshwater fish tank. *Currr. Sci.* 25: 158-159.
- Shrivastava, V.K.(1959). Studies of freshwater bottom fauna, Quantitative composition and variation of the available food supply of fishes. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India* 29: 207-216.
- Sinha, M.P.; Pandey, P.N. and Mahorotra, P.N. (1989): Some aspects of biological studies of organically polluted urban stream in Ranchi.II . Macrobenthic fauna. *The Indian Zoologist* 13(1&2): 79-83.
- Sinha, M.P.; Sinha ,R. and Mahorotra, P. N. (1991): Composition and dynamics of fresh water macrobenthic community larva (Diptera: Chironomidae) in tropical newly constructed freshwater habitat. *Biojournal* 4(1&2) : 195-197.
- Sivaramakrishnan, K.G.; Hannaford, M.J. and Resh, V.H. (1996): Biological assessment of The Kaveri River catchment, South India using benthic macroinvertebrates : applicability of water quality monitoring approaches developed in other countries . *International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Science* 22: 113-132.
- Subba Rao N.V. (1993): In K.S. (ed.), Recent Advance in Freshwater Biology, Vol. II, Anmol Publication, New Delhi, India, 1993a: 187-201.
- Zahoor Pir\*, Imtiyaz Tali\*\*, L. K. Mudgal\*, Anis Seddiq (2010) Distribution of Molluscans in Narmada River, India. *American journal of scinces* 2(10).