#### INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCHES IN BIOSCIENCES, AGRICULTURE AND TECHNOLOGY © VISHWASHANTI MULTIPURPOSE SOCIETY (Global Peace Multipurpose Society) R. No. MH-659/13(N) www.vmsindia.org

# THE SEASONAL DISTRIBUTION AND QUANTITATIVE STUDY OF TANK PLANKTONS OF DRAUGHT PRONE REGION OF TASGAON TAHSIL OF SANGLI DISTRICT MAHARASHTRA (INDIA)

### S. A. Khabade

Dattajirao Kadam Arts, Science and Commerce College, Ichalkaranji, (M.S.) India.

#### Abstract

In the seasonal distribution study of phytoplanktons conducted in Siddhewadi tank, the Chlorophyceae (64.68%) was dominating in monsoon. In winter season (64.46%) and also during summer season (57.7%) the Bacillariophyceae was the dominating group of phytoplanktons. In the seasonal distribution study of Zooplanktons conducted in Siddhewadi tank, the Rotifera (64.43%) is dominant group during monsoon. During winter season the Cladocera (56.8%) and Ostracoda (56.86%) were the dominant groups of Zooplanktons. During summer Rotifera (58.7%) and Copepoda (58.5%) were the dominating zooplanktons.

**Keywords** : Seasonal distribution – Quantitative study – Tank Planktons.

#### **Introduction :**

Other than physico-chemical parameters, the biogenic capacity of the water body or pond is important. The biogenic capacity means its capacity to produce the natural food to feed and sustain fish. The flora, fauna and planktonic population useful for fish feeding, which develop in the water, as well as the rate of current of water, among others are indices of its biogenic capacity (Srivastava, 1988).

The limnologists generally consider planktons to be tiny forms of life. However, this group encompasses organisms whose size spans three orders of magnitude. Plankton includes forms of aquatic bacteria and ultra-algae only a few microns in diameter and macroscopic forms of crustacean several millimeters long. Thus, the plankton encompasses a wider range of sizes than do the larger macroscopic nekton.

In a water body, there usually occur seasonal qualitative and quantitative fluctuations in the planktonic population in temperate as well as tropical climates. Certain planktonic populations apparently disappear at specified periods and reappear during others. Such temporary disappearances are due to the fact that the species concerned either become to scarce or occur as spores, resting eggs etc. which are not easily detectable. Upon the return of favourable conditions, spore germinate and the plankters multiply.

Considering above facts the Siddhewadi water tank, from draught prone region was investigated for the seasonal distribution of planktons.

#### Materials and Methods :

The water samples were collected in small plastic bottles of about 100 ml. size, during the morning and evening hours. Plankton net of bolting silk of mesh size 125 micron was used for collection of planktons.

The collected samples were fixed in 4% formalin on the spot. Then water samples were studied under microscope in the laboratory for qualitative and quantitative analysis by Sedgewick rafter cell.

The planktons were identified by using standard literature of Fritsch (1965); Adoni et. al. (1985); Biswas (1980); Tonapi (1980); Sarode and Kamat (1984); Cox. (1996); Ward and Whipple (1959) and Sreenivas and Duthie (1973); Pennak (1978); Sehgal (1983) and other standard available published literature.

### **Results and Discussion**

Plankters, according to their quality, may be classified as Phytoplankton and Zooplankton. Phytoplankton consists of chlorophyll bearing organisms, eg. Microcystis, Volvox etc. and the non-photosynthetic plants or Saproplankton e.g. Bacteria and Fungi. Zooplankton consists of plankters of animal origin.

Phytoplanktons forms the base of the food for many animals and therefore is extremely important the ecosystem. to Phytoplanktons are the product and belong to first tropic level (autotrophs); (Dholakia 2004). According to Nasare et. al. (2009),phytoplanktons are the pioneer of an aquatic food chain.

Zooplankton diversity is one of the most important ecological parameters in water quality assessment (Lokhande and Shembekar, 2012). The Zooplankton community composition and structure is affected by eutrophication (Blancher, 1984)

In the seasonal distribution study of phytoplanktons conducted in Siddhewadi tank, the Chlorophycene (64.68%) was dominating in monsoon. In winter season (64.46%) and also season (57.7%)during summer the Bacillariophyceae was the dominating group of phytoplanktons.

In the seasonal distribution study of zooplanktons conducted in Siddhewadi tank, the Rotifera (64.43%) is dominant group during monsoon. During winter season the Cladocera (56.8%) and Ostracoda (56.86%) were the dominant groups of zooplanktons. During summer Rotifera (58.7%) and Copepoda (58.5%) were the dominating zooplanktons.

The seasonal fluctuations of various groups of phytoplanktons and zooplanktons was studied by Saha et. al. (1971) and reported that the phytoplankton concentration during the two years of observations varied considerably. Similar results were obtained during the study of phytoplanktons of Siddhewadi tank.

According to Jhingran (1983), among zooplankton, Rotifers dominated and were followed by Copepod. Protozoans and Cladocerans formed an insignificant number in the total plankton. Protozoans were negligible in both the years. In present investigation of Siddhewadi tank also the negligible amount of protozoans were reported and the number of phytoplanktons and zooplanktons was insignificant during both the years of study.

Das and Srivastava (1956 and 1959) reported bimodal pattern of plankton production in fresh water ponds of Uttar Pradesh. The Planktonic peaks in these ponds were recorded during monsoon. These results are also correlated with the results obtained in present investigation.

The quantitative study of phytoplanktons in Siddhewadi tank shows 20 species of Cyanophyceae, 31 species of Chlorophyceae, 1 Species of Euglenophyceae, 2 speices of Dinophyceae and 21 species of Bacillariophyceae. Specieswise Chlorophyceae is followed by Bacillariophyceae, dominating Cyanophyceae, Dianophyceae and Euglenophyceae. Population wise Chlorophyceae, Bacillariophyceae and Cyanophyceae were dominating to other groups.

In the quantitative study of zooplanktons of Siddhewadi tank 09 species of Rotifera, 3 species of Copepoda, 11 species of Cladocera, 1 species of Ostracoda and 08 species of Protozoa were reported. Groupwise Cladocera is dominating group followed by Rotifera, Protozoa, Copepoda and Ostracoda. Populationwise Rotifera was the dominating and followed by Cladocera, Protozoa, Copepoda and Ostracoda.

Sr. No.	Planktons	Season	Monsoon		Winter		Summer	
		Year	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14
Α.	Phytoplanktons							
1)	Green an harrow a c		290	310	680	730	1413	1222
	Cyanophyceae		48.3%	51.6%	48.2%	51.7%	53.6%	46.3%
2)	Chlennhouses		1508	824	2781	2833	3513	3024
	Chlorophyceae		64.68%	35.3%	55.46%	56.5%	53.7%	46.2%
3)	Fuglamenhyanaa		34	50	22	124	108	134
	Euglenophyceae		40.4%	59.5%	15.06%	84.9%	44.6%	55.37%
4)	Dinonhuosoo		00	00	08	00	09	00
	Dinophyceae		0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	9.0%	0.0%
5)	Desillarianhusses		2213	1290	3300	1819	3302	2418
5)	Басшапорпусеае		63.17%	36.82%	64.46%	35.53%	57.7%	42.27%
В.	Zooplankton							
1)	Datifana		368	231	123	98	223	318
	Rothera		64.43%	38.56%	55.6%	44.34%	41.2%	58.7%
2)	Cononada		53	38	110	96	97	137
	Copepoda		58.24%	41.7%	53.3%	46.6%	41.45%	58.5%
3)	Cladacara		84	95	120	91	78	93.
	Claudcera		46.9%	53.07%	56.8%	43.12%	45.6%	54.38%
4)	Oatraada		14	00	22	29	73	60
	Ostracoda		14.0%	0.0%	43.13%	56.86%	54.8%	45.11%
5)	Protozoo		00	00	02	00	00	06
	FIULUZCA		0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%

**Table 1 :** Seasonal distribution in Phytoplankton (No./ltr) and Zooplankton (No./ltr) in Siddhewaditank during 2012-2014 (Percentage Composition in Parenthesis).

Note : All the values are mean of four sites i.e. S I, S II, S III and S IV

## **Conclusion**:

1) There are marked seasonal variations in the occurrence and abundance of various plankters and groups of plankters.

2) Each plankter is directly or indirectly subject to a complex of influences in the Physicochemical regime of the water brought about by changes of seasons.

3) The appearance of phytoplanktons depends on the availability of nutrients, degree of stratification and incidence of grazing.

4) Algal forms like Spirogyra, Aphanothece, Aphanocapsa, Oscillatoria and Lyngbya may be seen in large or small quantities throughout the year as constant forms in tank. Other forms are seen for short periods but are not really constant.

5) Even though algae are highly adaptable to environmental conditions, hydrological and climatological changes do affect them to a great extent.

6) Correlated with seasonal changes, fluctuations in the density and the composition of planktonic growths occur.

### Acknowledgements

I am thankful to the Principal, Dr. Milind S. Hujare, D.K.A.S.C. College, Ichalkaranji and Dr. M. B. Mule, Prof. and H.O.D. Environmental Science Dr. B. A. Marathawada University, Aurangabad for providing necessary laboratory facilities and encouragement for the present work.

### **References** :

Adoni A.D.; Gunwant Joshi; Kartik Ghosh; S. K. Chourasia; A. K. Vaishya; Manoj Yadav and H. G. Verma 1985: Work Book on Limnology, Pratibha Publishers, C-10, Gour Nagar, Sagar – 470003, India. P -88.

Biswas Kalipada 1989 : Common Fresh and Brackish Water Algal Flora of India and Burma. Records of Botanical Survey of India Vol. XV Part- I, International Book Distributors.

Blancher, C. Eldon 1984: Zooplankton – trophic relationship in some North and Central Florida lakes, Hydrobioilogia. 109 : 251 – 263.

Cox Elieen J. 1996 : Identification of Fresh water Diatoms from Live material. London.

Das S. M. and V. K. Srivastava (1956) : Quantitative Studies on fresh water plankton-II, Correlations between plankton and hydrobiological factors. Ibid. 26(4) : 243 - 54. Das S. M. and V. K. Srivastava (1959); Studies on freshwater plankton – III. Qualitative Composition and Seasonal Fluctuations in plankton components Ibid 29 : 174 – 189.

Dholakia A. D. 2004 : Fisheries and Aquatic Resources of India, Daya Publication, Delhi : P. 171 to 192.

Fritsch F. E. 1965 : Structure and Reproduction of Algae Vol. I and II Cambridge.

Jhingram V. G. 1983 : Fish and Fisheries of India. Hindustan Publishing Corporation, New Delhi, India.

Lokhande M. V. and V. S. Shembekar 2012 : Diversity of Zooplanktons in Dhanegaon reservoir, Dhanegaon, Dist. Osmanabad, Maharashtra (India). Aquatic Ecology and Toxicolgy, Jyotichandra Publication Pvt. Ltd., Dist. Latur (M.S.) India.

Nasare P.N.; N. S. Wadhave; N. V. Harney and S. R. Sitre 2009 : Studies on phytoplankton diversity of Vinjasan Lake in Bhadrawati town of Chandrapur district, M. S. Ecology and Fisheries, 2 (1) : 95 – 100.

Pennak, R. W. 1978 : Fresh water Invertebrates of United states, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Saha, G. N.; K. L. Sehgal; E. Mitra and A. G. Nandy 1971 : Studies on the seasonal and diurnal variations in physic- chemical and biological conditions of a perennial freshwater pond J. Inland Fish. Soc. India 3: 79 - 102.

Sarode P. T. and N. D. Kamat 1984 : Freshwater Diatoms of Maharahstra, Saikripa Prakashan, Aurangabad.

Sehgal ; A.C. 1983. Planktonic copepods of fresh waters, Interprint.

Sreenivas, M. R. and H. C. Duthie 1973 : Diatom Flora of the Grand River, Ontario, Canada, Hydrobiologia 42 : : 161 – 224.

Srivastava Sunil Kumar 1988 : Factors affecting plankton population in a tropical pond : A Statistical approach. J. Environmental Biology, 9 (4) : 401 – 408.

Tonapi G. T. 1980 : Fresh water Animals of India. An econogical approach Oxford and IBH Pub. Co., New Delhi.

Ward, H. B. and Whipple G. C. 1959 : Freshwater Biology, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. John wiley and Sons; New York.